



Comparative Profitability of Napier Fodder with Competitive Cash Crops in Selected Areas of Bangladesh

Syidul Islam ^{a++}, Sharmin Sultana ^{a++},
Md. Ashraful Islam ^{a++}, Sabina Yasmin ^{a#},
Md. Ruhul Amin ^{b++}, Md. Sirazul Islam ^{ct}
and Razia Khatun ^{a†*}

^a Farming System Research Division, Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute, Savar, Dhaka-1341, Bangladesh.

^b Buffalo Research and Development Project, Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute, Savar, Dhaka-1341, Bangladesh.

^c Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author SI designed the study and wrote the protocol, and write the manuscript. Author MSI helped in data collection. Authors SS and MAI helped to write the manuscript. Author RK provide support and guideline to write this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAAR/2024/v24i6510

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115967>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/02/2024

Accepted: 21/04/2024

Published: 30/04/2024

⁺⁺ Scientific Officer;

[#] Senior Scientific Officer;

[†] Student (Agriculture Economics);

[‡] Principal Scientific Officer;

*Corresponding author: Email: razia@blri.gov.bd;

ABSTRACT

The research was carried out to investigate the scenario of the fodder-cultivated farmer along with the profitability of Napier fodder cultivation in comparison to main cash crops like rice, jute and onions and the challenges in fodder cultivation. This study was done by collecting primary data through a baseline survey from 60 livestock cum fodder cultivating farmers by random sampling techniques. This study was mainly done in Shahjadpur, Sirajganj and Santhia, Pabna from June 2022- July 2022. Data were entered, sorted, compiled, and analyzed by using a Microsoft Excel worksheet. Descriptive statistics were performed to test the differences among the variables of fodder, rice, jute, and onion production by using SPSS Software, IBM-25 Corporation. The results of this study shows that the average age range of farmers was 41.68% between the ages of 41 and 60, whereas 38.33% of farmers were between 20 and 40 years ages. In the study areas, 75.00% of farmers had minimum primary to graduation or above educational level. Overall 56.67% of farmer's main occupation was agriculture in the selected areas. Only 25.00% of farmers knew feed processing. The Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) in rice and onion were 1.81 and 1.66 in Shahjadpur and 1.78 and 1.52 in Santhia. In jute and fodder, BCR was 1.34 and 2.11 in Santhia whereas 1.28 and 2.00 in Shahjadpur, respectively. The profitability of fodder was higher than other selected cash crops. The maximum 80.00% of farmers had a lack of training on scientific ways of fodder production. This study concluded that fodder cultivation can play a significant role in more income generation in the studied milk pocket areas in comparison to rice, jute, and onion cultivation because they had a scarcity of fodder and high demand for it.

Keywords: Fodder cultivation; cash crops; production; benefit-cost ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is an agrarian country. Most of our farmers are involved in a mixed farming system. Crop production along with livestock rearing is the principal occupation in most of the farmers. The growth of the agriculture sector stood at 2.61% and the sectorial share of GDP at 11.20% in the 2022-23 fiscal years [1]. The contribution of livestock to our GDP is 1.85% which is a 16.52% share of Livestock in Agricultural GDP. Overall 20% population is directly and 50% population is partially dependent on the livestock sector [2]. Due to the increasing population, the agricultural and grazing land of Bangladesh is reduced. As a result livestock feed crisis has increased. To reduce this problem high yielding fodder production is very important for our country. Fodder plays an important role in minimizing the cost of livestock production and its products, especially of milk and meat. Feeds and fodder constitute about 60-70% of the total cost of dairy farming [3]. Rice is a staple food in Bangladesh. Most of the farmers cultivated rice on their land as a main crop for both income and their own needs. Jute is called the golden fiber of Bangladesh. The production of jute in Bangladesh is 1.68 million metric tons. Bangladesh is the 2nd leading producer of jute in the Asia Pacific region [4]. In Bangladesh, onion is an integral part of people's daily diet and is used very commonly in most food preparations

[5]. Large no. of farmers involved in onion production. Hence, studying the economics of crops and fodder is of utmost importance, but there is scanty literature on this topic in Bangladesh. The present study has been carried out to analyze the socio-economic characteristics of fodder-cultivating farmers, profitability of fodder cultivation and competing crops, marketing of fodder, and problems associated with fodder cultivation. The study will provide useful information to policy planners, future researchers, and others concerned with the livestock cum fodder farming sub-sector.

1.1 The Study was done with the Following Objectives

- i) To know the present status of fodder cultivation in the selected areas of Bangladesh.
- ii) To know the profitability of fodder in comparison to competitive cash crops in those areas.
- iii) To find out the major challenges in fodder cultivation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Data Collection

A survey was carried out at Santhia, Pabna, and Shahjadpur, Sirajganj area to know the present

status of fodder cum livestock farmer along with their agricultural productivity. A total of 60 livestock cum fodder farmers took 30 from Santhia and 30 from Shahjadpur for collecting necessary data on fodder, crops, and jute production. The data were mainly collected through random sampling methods. For collecting this data a questionnaire was predesigned and pretested before final data collection. Data were collected based on the cropping pattern of farmers. Farmers who cultivated rice mainly cultivated Amon and IRRI/BRRRI rice in their land in two cropping seasons during the year. Farmer of this area mainly cultivated onion and jute in their land in two cropping systems. On the other hand, farmers mainly cultivate fodder around the year and few farmers cultivate fodder for about 6 months a year where 4 times cutting was done by fodder-cultivated farmers because the low-lying water-logged areas were not suitable for fodder production. For this reason, we considered once rice cropping time of 6 months, and once jute and onion cropping time of an average 4 months which means 4 months of this land were present as fallow land. For this reason, a 6-month/ 01 (one) cropping pattern were considered for analysis of cost and benefits in those crops and fodder production. Secondary data were collected from journals, reports, official records, and available sources.

2.2 Data Analysis

Data were entered, sorted, compiled, and analyzed by using a Microsoft Excel worksheet. Descriptive statistics were performed to test the differences among the variables of fodder, rice, jute, and onion production by using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) Software, IBM-25 Corporation.

For calculating net return we used the following formula:

Net return= GR-GC; Where, GR=Gross return, GC=Gross cost

Here, GC=TFC+TVC; Where, TFC=Total fixed cost, TVC=Total variable cost

To calculate the benefit-cost ratio we used the following formula:

$$\text{Benefit-Cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross Return (GR)}}{\text{Gross Cost (GC)}}$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Socio-economic Profile of Fodder Growers

Farmers' age has a big impact on farm output and improved agricultural management methods. Farmers' ages were established by categorizing them into three groups: (i) 20-40 years, (ii) 41-60 years, and (iii) Above 60 years. The age ranges of different fodder-cultivating farmers are shown in Table 1. In both upazila, 41.68% of farmers were between the ages of 41 and 60, whereas 38.33% of farmers were between 20 and 40 years of age. So we could conclude that the largest percentage of farmers were between the ages of 41 and 60, showing that the majority of farmers were in an active period and performed better in their agricultural operations and the remaining only 19.99% of farmers were above 60.

The literacy status of fodder farmers has been classified into five categories based on education level. On average, in two upazilas, 40.00% of farmers belonged to the primary level, 18.34% secondary level, 10.00% higher secondary and 6.67% degree and above. The remaining 25.00% had no formal education. Table 1 shows the educational qualifications of the farmers in the selected areas.

According to the data, agriculture was the primary occupation of 66.68% of farmers in Santhia with business accounting for 20.00% of farmers. In Shahjadpur, agriculture was the primary occupation of 46.68% of farmers, 26.66% in jobs and 20.00% of farmers involved in business. Overall 56.67% of farmer's main occupation was agriculture. The average family member and earning status of the farmers are shown in Table 1. In Santhia, family size was found 5.70 and in Shahjadpur, it was 6.70. The average family size was 6.20. Earning members in Santhia was 1.80 per family and in Shahjadpur was 1.90. Dependency ratios found in Santhia were 3.16 and in Shahjadpur were 3.48. The average dependency ratio was 3.32.

3.2 Types of Fodder Mainly Cultivated by Farmers

The highest 56.70% and 46.70% of farmers cultivated Napier fodder in Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila whereas the lowest 3.30% farmer's cultivated Napier fodder with maize in

Santhia and the same percentage of farmers were found whose cultivated Jamboo fodder in Shahjadpur upazila. The highest 51.70% of farmers mainly involved in cultivating Napier fodder and the lowest 5.00% were cultivated both Napier fodder with maize and German grass in the overall study areas. In Santhia, 23.30% of farmers cultivated Napier fodder with Jamboo

whereas only 10.00% and 6.70% of farmers were found who cultivated German and Jamboo grass. On the other hand, 33.30% of farmers cultivated Napier fodder with Jamboo whereas 10.00% of farmers cultivated German and only 6.70% of farmers cultivated both Napier fodder and Maize at their fodder field in Shahjadpur upazila (Table 2).

Table 1. Show the Socioeconomic status of the farmer

Parameters	Santhia (n)	Shahjadpur (n)	Overall (n)
Farmers Age (Year)			
20-40	36.66% (11)	40.00% (12)	38.33% (23)
41-60	46.68% (14)	36.68% (11)	41.68% (25)
Above 60	16.66% (5)	23.32% (7)	19.99% (12)
Overall	100.00% (30)	100.00% (30)	100.00% (60)
Education level % (n)			
Illiterate	23.34% (7)	26.66% (8)	25.00% (15)
Primary	36.66% (11)	43.34% (13)	40.00% (24)
Secondary	30.00% (9)	6.66% (2)	18.34%(11)
Higher Secondary	6.66% (2)	13.34% (4)	10.00% (6)
Graduate and above	3.34%(1)	10.00% (3)	6.67% (4)
Overall	100.00%(30)	100.00% (30)	100.00% (60)
Main occupation % (n)			
Agriculture	66.68% (20)	46.68% (14)	56.67% (34)
Business	20.00% (6)	20.00% (6)	20.00% (12)
Job	6.66% (2)	26.66% (8)	16.66% (10)
Others	6.66% (2)	6.66% (2)	6.66% (4)
Total	100.00% (30)	100.00% (30)	100.00% (60)
Farmers family status (Average)			
Family Size	5.70	6.70	6.20
Earning Member	1.80	1.90	1.85
Dependent member	3.90	4.80	4.35
Dependency ratio	3.16	3.48	3.32

Table 2. Showed the different types of fodder cultivated by farmers of Santhia and Shahjadpur

Parameters	Santhia	Shahjadpur	Overall
Napier/Packchong	56.70% (17)	46.70% (14)	51.70% (31)
Napier and Maize	3.30% (1)	6.70% (2)	5.00% (3)
Napier and Jamboo	23.30% (7)	33.30% (10)	28.30% (17)
German	10.00% (3)	10.00% (3)	10.00% (6)
Jamboo	6.70% (2)	3.30% (1)	5.00% (3)
Total	100.00% (30)	100.00% (30)	100.00% (60)

Table 3. The sources of seed and fodder cutting for farmers in Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila

Parameters	Santhia	Shahjadpur	Overall
Local market	80.00% (48)	13.30% (8)	46.65% (28)
Own and from another farmer	16.70% (10)	80.00% (48)	48.35% (29)
Institution	3.30% (2)	6.70% (4)	5.00% (3)
Total	100% (60)	100% (60)	100% (60)

Table 4. Farmers' experience and feedback on fodder cultivation and processing

Locations	Experience in fodder cultivation (year)	Training on fodder cultivation		Fodder cultivated land in 2022 (decimal)	Fodder Processing	
		Yes	No		Yes	No
Santhia	10.56 (30)	33.33%(10)	66.67%(20)	53.36 (30)	26.70% (8)	73.30%(22)
Shahjadpur	10.92(28)	30.00% (9)	70.00% (21)	55.79 (24)	23.30% (7)	76.70%(23)
Overall	10.74 (58)	31.66%(19)	68.33% (41)	54.57 (54)	25.00%(15)	75.00%(45)

Table 5. Farmer's involvement in rice, jute, onion, and fodder cultivation

Location	Rice	Jute	Onion	Fodder
Santhia	76.66% (23)	46.67% (14)	60.00% (18)	96.67% (29)
Sirajganj	63.33% (19)	66.67% (20)	40.00% (12)	93.33% (28)
Overall	70.00% (42)	56.67% (34)	50.00% (30)	95.00% (57)

3.3. Source of Seed and Fodder Cutting

The highest 80.00% of farmers purchased fodder seeds or cutting from the local market in Santhia upazila but the same percentage of farmers used seeds or cutting from their stock/field otherwise, they bought those from the other farmers' households in Shahjadpur upazila. Moreover, the highest 48.35% of farmers also used fodder seeds and cutting from the same source as Shahjadpur in the overall study areas (Table 3). Only 3.30% of farmers from Santhia, 6.70% from Shahjadpur, and 5.00% of farmers from the overall selected areas had the opportunity to get fodder seeds and cutting from different Govt. and Non-Govt. institution at low or minimum cost.

3.4 Farmers Experience and Feedback on Fodder Production and Processing

Table 4 shows the farmer's experience and feedback on fodder cultivation and processing. Farmers had experienced an average of 11 years of fodder cultivation both in Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila with the average fodder land of 53.36 decimal in Santhia and 55.79 decimal in Shahjadpur. However, the maximum 70.00% and 66.67% of farmers didn't obtain any training facility on the proper way of fodder cultivation as well as the standard agronomical practices required to get more fodder production in both selected areas. Only 26.70% of farmers in Santhia and 23.30% of farmers in Shahjadpur upazila knew the feed processing and they processed feed for feeding their livestock during the scarcity period.

3.5 Farmer Involved in Rice, Jute, Onion and Fodder Cultivation

From Table 4, we found that in Santhia upazila, comparatively 96.67% of farmers cultivated fodder while 76.66%, 60.00%, and 46.67% of farmers cultivated Rice, Onion, and Jute in their cultivable land. In Shahjadpur, the scenario was also similar where the maximum 93.33% of farmers were involved in cultivating fodder with 66.67%, 63.33%, and 40.00% farmers cultivating Rice, Jute, and Onion, respectively. After harvesting the Onion, most of the farmers followed to cultivate Jute on the same land which helped to reduce the fertilizer cost of the farmers. Some farmers took rent or leased land from other farmers mainly for fodder and other cash crop cultivation.

3.6 Benefit-Cost Ratio in Santhia and Shahjadpur

Table 6 shows the Gross Income (GI), Gross Cost (GC), Net Income (NI) and Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) of different cash crops and fodder per hectare of land areas in the Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila. In Santhia, the maximum annual Gross Cost (GC) of 260142.83Tk/hectare was observed for Onion production and 203232.29Tk/hectare for fodder production where the minimum annual GC was 153860.51Tk/hectare for jute production with the GC 158281.9Tk/hectare accounting for Rice production in Santhia upazila. Table 6 shows the benefit-cost ratio of different cash crops and fodder in the Santhia and Shahjadpur areas. Moreover, the highest cost for land rent 64868.69Tk, land preparation 17963.64Tk, fertilizer 29725.66Tk, insecticides 16258.75Tk, and labor costs 96221.89Tk, were involved in Onion production per hectare land respectively. But the irrigation cost of 25939.61Tk, equipment, and another cost of 1586.96Tk was higher in Rice production where the higher seed, seed-bed preparation, and cutting cost were found 75106.58Tk for the fodder production in per hectare land in Santhia upazila. Comparatively maximum Gross Income (GI) of 430817.55Tk was found from fodder production with a minimum GI of 207597.62Tk from Jute production where GI of 394701.01Tk and 282341.50Tk were obtained from Onion and Rice production per hectare of land. The highest income from the main product 430817.55Tk was observed for fodder production but the income from the by-product 31241.11Tk was higher in Rice production per hectare of land. Therefore, the maximum Net Income (NI) was also found 227585.26Tk for fodder production whereas the minimum NI of 53737.11Tk was observed for Jute production per hectare of land. In Shahjadpur upazila the maximum annual Gross Cost (GC) of 254143.45Tk was observed for Onion production and 215691.95Tk for fodder production where the minimum annual GC was 158161.78Tk for Rice production with the GC 173999.09Tk accounted for Jute production per hectare of land in Shahjadpur upazila. Moreover, the highest cost for land rent 63333.33Tk, land preparation 18193.94Tk, insecticides 17445.45Tk, and labor cost 96737.27Tk was involved in Onion production respectively but the irrigation cost 19862.42Tk was higher in Rice production where the higher fertilizer cost, seed, seed-bed preparation, and cutting cost were found 25833.42Tk and 75106.58Tk for the fodder

Table 6. The benefit-cost ratio of different cash-crop and fodder in Santhia and Shahjadpur per hectare of land areas

Parameters	Rice		Jute		Onion		Fodder	
	Santhia	Shahjadpur	Santhia	Shahjadpur	Santhia	Shahjadpur	Santhia	Shahjadpur
A. Fixed Cost (Taka/Hectare)								
1. Land rent	30098.81	42309.09	53463.20	53378.79	64868.69	63333.33	55103.97	47181.28
B. Variable cost (Taka/Hectare)								
1. Land preparation cost	9122.73	8304.24	5987.88	5987.88	17963.64	18193.94	5987.88	10339.78
2. Irrigation cost	25939.61	19862.42	4945.35	4746.97	9818.36	8981.82	15124.56	15036.53
3. Fertilizer cost	14702.74	13232.42	952.71	1823.94	29725.66	23953.24	26204.71	25833.42
4. Insecticide cost	2876.79	2982.12	4143.39	3927.58	16258.75	17445.45	-	-
5. Seed & bed preparation/cutting cost	3042.75	2714.24	2566.23	2773.33	24325.76	24354.55	75106.58	83669.91
6. Labour cost	70910.80	67403.03	82867.97	91196.97	96221.89	96727.27	24132.18	25552.74
7. Equipment and others	1586.96	1354.21	1500.00	1357.89	1505.56	1153.85	1200.00	1079.29
Gross Cost (A+B) (Taka/Hectare)	158281.19	158161.78	153860.51	173999.09	260142.83	254143.45	203232.29	215691.95
C. Income from the main product (Taka/Hectare)	251100.39	254327.27	191184.42	202327.27	394701.01	422836.36	430817.55	431897.14
D. Income from by-products (Taka/Hectare)	31241.11	31830.30	16413.20	19893.94	-	-	-	-
Gross Income (C+D) (Taka/Hectare)	282341.50	286157.58	207597.62	222221.21	394701.01	422836.36	430817.55	431897.14
Net Income (Gross Income - Gross Cost)	124060.31	127995.80	53737.11	48222.12	134558.18	168692.91	227585.26	216205.19
Benefit-cost ratio (BCR)	1.78	1.81	1.34	1.28	1.52	1.66	2.11	2.00

Table 7. The major constraints faced by farmers for fodder cultivation in selected areas

Sl. No.	Constraints	Score	Rank	percentage
1	Lack of proper training	48	I	80.00%
2	Higher cost of fertilizer	45	II	75.00%
3	Lack of proper selling market	32	III	53.33%
4	Lack of better quality seed/cutting	26	IV	43.33%
5	Flood Problem in Lowland	22	V	36.67%
6	Higher cost of action	10	VI	16.67%

production per hectare of land in Shahjadpur upazila. The equipment and another cost of 1357.89Tk were higher in Jute production. Comparatively maximum Gross Income (GI) of 431897.14Tk was found from fodder production with a minimum GI of 222221.21Tk from Jute production where GI of 422836.36Tk and 286157.58Tk was obtained from Onion and Rice production per hectare of land. The highest income from the main product 431897.14Tk was observed for fodder production but the income from the by-product 31830.30Tk was higher in Rice production per hectare of land. Therefore, the maximum Net Income (NI) was also found 216205.19Tk for fodder production whereas the minimum NI 48222.12Tk was observed for Jute production per hectare of land. The BCR in rice and onion were 1.81 and 1.66 higher in Shahjadpur rather than 1.78 and 1.52 in Santhia. In jute and fodder, BCR was higher in Santhia and was 1.34 and 2.11 in comparison to Shahjadpur where the BCR was 1.28 and 2.00, respectively.

3.7 Major Constraints to Fodder Cultivation

Farmers were informed that they faced various constraints in the selected two areas known as the milk pocket area of Bangladesh shown in Table 7. A maximum of 80.00% of farmers were told about the lack of training on scientific ways of fodder production as well as the essential standard management practices to make fodder production more profitable (Table 7). About 75.00% of farmers faced problems with higher fertilizer costs whereas 53.33% and 43.33% said that they faced problems with unworthy selling prices and lack of quality seed. As a flood-prone area, 36.67% of farmers faced problems with their low land because those lands became in-utilizable for cultivation and farmers encountered huge losses for crop and fodder production during floods. On the other hand, about 16.67% of farmers faced problems with inadequate action to their cultivable land during the dry season.

3.8 Discussion

In both upazila, 41.68% of farmers were between the ages of 41 and 60, whereas 38.33% of farmers were between 20 and 40 years of age (Table 1). So we could conclude that the largest percentage of farmers were between the ages of 41 and 60, showing that the majority of

farmers were in an active period and performed better in their agricultural operations and the remaining only 19.99% of farmers were above 60 years. This study report was comparable with [6], who reported that 60.00% of dairy farmers belonged to the 41 and 60 years age group followed by 21-40 years (24.00%) and above 60 years (5.00%). This variation was found due to the study was conducted at different times and not with the same farmers.

In the case of education, on average in two upazila, 40% of farmers belonged to the primary level, 18.34% secondary level, 10.00% higher secondary and 6.67% degree and above. The remaining 25.00% had no formal education. This data was closely similar to the findings of [6], who reported that 75.00% of farmers had different levels of education and 25.00% of farmers were illiterate. This result was also similar to the findings of [7], who reported that 23.67% of farmers were illiterate, 25.67% completed primary level, 20.67% secondary level, 13.33% SSC, 9.33% HSC and 7.33% degree; Where [8] reported that about 47.50% farmers completed at least primary and above educational level.

According to the data, agriculture is the primary occupation of 66.68% of farmers in Santhia with business accounting for 20.00% of farmers. In Shahjadpur, agriculture was the primary occupation of 46.68% of farmers, 26.66% in jobs and 20.00% of farmers involved in business. Overall 56.67% of farmer's main occupation was agriculture. This data is also related to the findings of [7], who reported that Agriculture was the highest (77.33%) followed by business (15.67%) and the lowest (7.00%) in service where [8] mentioned that 68.30% of farmers were engaged in agriculture as well as other income generating activities like labor selling, service, small business, etc.

In Santhia, the family size was found 5.70 and in Shahjadpur, it was 6.70. The average family size was 6.20 (Table 1). The family households in the study areas were higher than the national report where [9] reported average number of family members in the Rajshahi division was 4.00 but this study report was closely similar to [7] who described that the average number of family members per farm was 6.10. To the studies of [8] the average household size of the farmers was

5.00. Earning members in Santhia was 1.80 per family and in Shahjadpur was 1.90. Dependency ratios found in Santhia were 3.16 and in Shahjadpur were 3.48. The average dependency ratio was 3.32. Santhia, the family size was found 6.70 and in Shahjadpur, it was 6.93 whereas the dependent member in Santhia was 3.46 and in Shahjadpur was 3.10 where working members in Santhia 3.30 person per family and in Shahjadpur was 3.83 and the dependency ratio was found in Santhia 1.61 and Shahjadpur 2.31 and average 2.00 reported by [10]. This data was also significant in the present study.

The highest 56.70% and 46.70% of farmers cultivated Napier fodder in Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila whereas the lowest 3.30% farmer's cultivated Napier fodder with maize in Santhia and the same percentage of farmers were found whose cultivated Jamboo fodder in Shajadpur upazila. The highest 51.70% of farmers mainly involved in cultivating Napier fodder and the lowest 5.00% were cultivated both Napier fodder with maize and German grass in the overall study areas. In Santhia, 23.30% of farmers cultivated Napier fodder with Jamboo whereas only 10.00% and 6.70% of farmers were found who cultivated German and Jamboo grass. On the other hand, 33.30% of farmers cultivated Napier fodder with Jamboo whereas 10.00% of farmers cultivated German and only 6.70% of farmers cultivated both Napier fodder and Maize at their fodder field in Shahjadpur upazila. Different types of fodder generally cultivated by the farmers in Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila are shown in Table 2. This data is also related to [10], who reported that in Santhia, the highest 30.00% of farmers cultivated both jumbo and khesari fodder followed by jumbo and napier 16.67%. In Shahjadpur, 36.67% of farmers cultivated napier fodder followed by 20% jumbo. This data was relevant to [11], who reported that in Rangpur 20% and 40% of farmers cultivated napkins and maize, respectively and the rest of the farmers did not cultivate fodder. Farmers had experienced an average of 11 years of fodder cultivation both in Santhia and Shahjadpur upazila. Farmers' experience in this study was lower than the study of [10], who stated that in Santhia, farmers had 19 years and in Shahjadpur, farmers had 20 years of experience in fodder production for their dairy cattle.

The BCR in rice and onion were 1.81 and 1.66 higher in Shahjadpur rather than 1.78 and 1.52 in Santhia. In jute and fodder, BCR was higher in Santhia and was 1.34 and 2.11 in comparison to

Shahjadpur where the BCR was 1.28 and 2.00, respectively. This data was also significant in the study of [6]; who found that the benefit-cost ratio (BCR) for fodder and rice production were 2.97 and 1.59, respectively, another study by [12], who reported that the benefit-cost ratio of jute in Kishoregonj was 1.17 and Faridpur 1.24 and BCR in Onion was in Kushtia 2.02 and Jhenaidah 1.83 mentioned by [13]. BCR in jute 1.53 in demo farmers and 1.24 in Non-demo farmers at Sirajgonj [14]. In comparison to our cash crops, BCR was higher found in fodder which means fodder cultivation is a profitable than the studied cash crops. The highest BCR in fodder was found due to fodder land requiring less cultivation, irrigation, insecticide and labor costs in comparison to the traditional cash crops. In fodder land, there is no need for weeding and other processing fees. Farmers sell their fodder mainly from the field as a result the cost of fodder is less and BCR is high.

Farmers were informed that they faced various constraints in the selected two areas known as the milk pocket area of Bangladesh shown in Table 7. A maximum of 80.00% of farmers were told about the lack of training on scientific ways of fodder production (Table 7). 53.33% and 43.33% said that they faced problems with unworthy selling prices and a lack of quality seed. As a flood-prone area, 36.67% of farmers faced problems with their low land because those lands became in-utilizable for cultivation and farmers encountered huge losses for crop and fodder production during floods. On the other hand, about 16.67% of farmers faced problems with inadequate nation to their cultivable land during the dry season. Similar types of problems were faced by farmers in Bangladesh mentioned by [7]. In their study, they reported that unavailability of cuttings/seeds in time, lack of training on fodder cultivation, lack of information about high-yielding fodder species, lack of green fodder preservation techniques, and lack of marketing facilities were major constraints faced by farmers.

4. CONCLUSION

Fodders play a great role in the feeding system of livestock in Bangladesh. Sirajgonj and Pabna are known as milk pocket areas or capital of dairy farms in Bangladesh. So, the demand of fodder for dairy cows is high in those areas. As the fodder needs little labour and the cost of irrigation, cultivation and insect control is too low,

this sector is profitable in these areas. Along with increased livestock production, the pasture land was also decreased. The price of cattle feed was also high. In the study areas scarcity of fodder was common. As a result, high-yielding fodder cultivation is an emerging issue to reduce feed costs and increase the productivity of livestock, especially milk production of dairy cattle. As fodder production is profitable, so fodder cultivation along with other cash crops production will be a good source of income. However, farmers faced some constraints in fodder production. So, the development of fodder nurseries and training of farmers on fodder production and preservation techniques needs to improve through proper extension services. The government should take policy on fodder cultivation for the development of the livestock sector.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to Dr. Razia Khatun, Head of the Farming System Research Division for her support, funding, and guidance.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Bangladesh Economic Review; 2023.
Available:https://mof.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/mof.portal.gov.bd/page/f2d8fabbb_29c1_423a_9d37_cdb500260002/Chapter-2%20%28English-2023%29.Pdf
- DLS. Livestock economy at a glance; 2022-23.
Available:https://dls.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/dls.portal.gov.bd/page/ee5f4621_fa3a_40ac_8bd9_898fb8ee4700/2023-07-23-12-04-afbccc96f8b27d4bab6501aa8c2c2ff.pdf.
- Grover DK, Kumar S. Economics of production, processing, and marketing of fodder crops in India. A report submitted to Agro-Economic Research Centre Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana. 2012.
DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.19991.11681
- APAC; 2021.
Available:<https://www.statista.com/statistics/679345/asia-pacific-jute-production-by-country/>
- Hossain, Mohammed Moyazzem FARUQ. Abdulla IMRAN. Parvez. Time series analysis of onion production in Bangladesh. *Innovare Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2017;5(1):1-4.
- Shovna Jobaida. Study on the comparative economic analysis of fodder production with rice at milk pocket areas of Bangladesh. *HCTL Open International Journal of Technology Innovations and Research (IJTIR)*. 2016; 4:1174-1177.
- Sayed MA, Rahman SMA, Alam J, Sarker NR, Begum J. A profitability study on fodder cultivation and competing crops in selected areas of Bangladesh. *Economic Affairs*. 2016; 55(1):1-14.
- Uddin MT, Dhar AR. Socioeconomic analysis of hydroponic fodder production in selected Areas of Bangladesh: Prospects and challenges. *SAARC J. Agri*. 2018; 16(1):233-247.
DOI:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/sja.v16i1.37438>
- BBS. Population and Household Census; 2022.
Available:https://bbs.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/bbs.portal.gov.bd/page/b343a8b4_956b_45ca_872f_4cf9b2f1a6e0/2023-09-27-09-50-a3672cdf61961a45347ab8660a3109b6.pdf
- Islam, Mohammad Saifu, Begum, Jeasmin, Sarker, Nathu, Khatun, Mastura. Socioeconomic impact of dairy cum fodder farming in some selected areas of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences*. 2016;1:1-10.
- Hossain MM, Alam MM, Rashid MM, Asaduzzaman M, Rahman MM. Small-scale dairy farming practice in a selective area of Bangladesh. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2005; 4(4):215-221.
- Rahman S, Kazal MMH, Begum IA, Alam MJ. Exploring the future potential of Jute in Bangladesh. *Agriculture*, 2017;7:96.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture7120096>
- Anjum Arifa, Barmon, Basanta. Profitability and comparative advantage of onion (*Allium cepa*) production in Bangladesh: An economic study in some selected areas. *The Agriculturists*. 2018; 15:66.
DOI: 10.3329/agric.v15i2.35466

14. Islam MM, Ali S, Ali MM, Ali MY, Hossain MB. Jute fibre yield and economics in charland areas of Bangladesh. Research in: Agricultural & Veterinary Sciences, 2018; 2 (1):59-65.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115967>