

*International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*

*34(23): 1510-1521, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.94396 ISSN: 2320-7035*

# **Influence of Irrigation Schedules on Economics of Winter Wheat in Eastern Uttar Pradesh**

**Vipin Mishra a++\* , D. M. Denis a# , Rajesh Singh b† and Anupriya Paul c†**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture , Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.* 

*<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture , Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.*

*<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture , Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232570

*Received 23 September 2022 Accepted 01 December 2022 Published 01 December 2022*

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94396

*Original Research Article*

**ABSTRACT**

This research was aimed to investigate the influence of irrigation schedules on the economic return of winter wheat during the Rabi season of years 2020-21 and 2021-22 for two consecutive years in Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. Irrigation was scheduled as influenced by limiting soil water conditions, climatological factors and factors of energy balance. Irrigation was scheduled as influenced by limiting soil water conditions; the maximum cost of wheat production 46702.14 Rs/ha was found with 396 mm of total water applied at irrigation level 0 % soil moisture depletion and maximum benefit cost ratio 1.93 was found with 316.8 mm of total water applied at irrigation level 20 % soil moisture depletion, while a minimum benefit cost ratio 1.30 was found with 79.2 mm of total water applied at irrigation level 80% soil moisture depletion. Irrigation was scheduled as influenced by climatological factors, the maximum cost of wheat production 46702.14 Rs/ha was found with 396 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (IW/CPE=1.75) and maximum benefit cost ratio 2.07 was found with 330 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (IW/CPE=1.5) while minimum benefit cost ratio 1.44 was found with 132 mm of total water applied at irrigation level

\_

- *# Professor;*
- *† Assistant Professor*

*<sup>++</sup> Research Scholar;*

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: vipinmishra6781@gmail.com;*

(IW/CPE= 0.50). Irrigation was scheduled as influenced by factors of energy balance, the maximum cost of wheat production 50306.08 Rs/ha was found with 528 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (EB=0.50) and maximum benefit cost ratio 2.19 was found with 396 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (EB=0.75) while the minimum benefit cost ratio 1.72 was found with 198 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (EB=1.75). Total water applied and B:C ratio of wheat as influenced by limiting soil water conditions, climatological factors and factors of energy balance showed a quadratic relationship  $R^2$ =0.90, 0.85, and 0.65, respectively.

*Keywords: Costs; wheat production; water; climate; irrigation system; economic growth.*

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

In India, the agricultural sector is regarded as the driving force behind economic growth and expansion [1]. Due to the fact that in developing nations like India, this sector satisfies the need for food grains while also employing a large percentage of the total population. In India, wheat was grown on 29.9 million acres, producing 107 million metric tonnes at a yield of 3,430 kg per hectare (Government of India, 2019-20). Greater than 25% of India's entire wheat production comes from only Uttar Pradesh. According to a recent study conducted by the state's agricultural department, the total wheat output in Uttar Pradesh in 2022 is projected to be 359 million metric tonnes, which is 16 million metric tonnes less than in 2021 (U.P., Government). Water scarcity is the primary barrier to expanding crop varieties and yields [2]. Water for irrigation is increasingly limited and expensive as a result of the rapid depletion of surface and subsurface water supplies caused by irregular rainfall [3]. Thus, the proper quantity and frequency of irrigation is critical for making the most use of water resources for agricultural production [4]. The rising demand for wheat has led to an annual expansion of the global wheat market [5]. Grain yields decline steadily for every day when sowing is delayed after the third week of November [6]. Farmers have begun using resource-saving techniques, such as zero-till and surface seeding in wheat crop, to save costs and plant earlier [7]. To provide optimal soil moisture condition for optimal plant growth and development, optimal yield, water usage efficiency, and economic advantages, irrigation timing is a crucial management input [8]. Microclimate is the most influential of many complicated parameters that determine when and how much water to apply during irrigation [9].

Scheduled irrigation that replenishes moisture content to the desired level while conserving water and energy helps maximise irrigation efficiency [10]. Every kind of irrigation system has its own unique combination of fixed costs, operating expenses, and the cost of initial investment. Costs associated with capital investments include those for the installation and maintenance of essential irrigation facilities and machinery [11]. The water distribution network, the design of the irrigation system, and the automation of water management are all part of this. Investments in capital result in recurring expenses known as fixed yearly costs [12]. Depreciation, interests, taxes, and maintenance are all part of these costs. Electricity used for activities such pumping water and removing and cleansing channel is a recurring cost [13]. The total cost of operations consists of human labour, land, seeds, fertiliser, chemicals, and repairs and maintenance.

# **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In this research to examine the economies of wheat by comparing the production cost and benefits cost ratios of wheat on pooled data of wheat crop for two cropping years (2020-21 and 2021-22) at Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh India. Experiments in the field were carried out at Irrigation Research Centre, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences in Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. Prayagraj is situated at 25.45 degrees North latitude and 81.84 degrees East longitude, and it is situated at the confluence of the Yamuna and Ganga rivers. The experimental design was a randomised complete block with three replications and five levels of irrigation for different approaches to irrigation scheduling.





# **2.1 Total Available Water**

Total available water refers to the quantity of water that may be used by plants. Actually, it's the soil moisture differential between the field capacity and the permanent wilting point [14]. The total available water was determined using the formula below.

TAW = 1000 
$$
[(\theta_{FC} - \theta_{PWP})] \times Zr
$$
 ...... (1)

Where,

TAW is the total available water (mm),

 $\theta_{FC}$  is the moisture content at field capacity (%),

 $\theta_{PWP}$  is the moisture content at permanent wilting point (%) and

Zr is the effective root zone depth in meters.

#### **2.2 Readily Available Water**

The readily available water is the fraction of TAW that a crop may take from the root zone without suffering from water stress [14].

$$
RAW = p*TAW \quad \dots \dots \dots \dots \quad (2)
$$

Where,

RAW is the readily available soil water in the root zone (mm),

p is the average fraction of total Available Water.

# **2. 3 Calculate the Net Depth of Irrigation**

After the calculating of total available water (TAW), the maximum permissible depletion (p) in percentage was used in the following equation to determine the net depth of irrigation:

IW = p \* TAW ……………………………… (3)

Where,

IW is the net depth of irrigation to be used for a single irrigation (mm),

p is the maximum allowable depletion (%) and TAW is the total available water (mm).

Using data from FAO-56, maximum allowable depletion (p) for wheat crop is equal to 0.55.

#### **2.4 Weather Data Collections**

The weather data, which prevailed during the two wheat crop growing seasons, November 2020 to April 2021 and November 2021 to April 2022, are presented in Table 1.

# **2.5 Irrigation Scheduling based upon Limiting Soil Water Conditions**

Irrigation was scheduled on the basis of limiting soil water conditions. This approach applies to the laboratory assessment of soil moisture content as a percentage of its oven-dried weight.

The moisture content of the soil was calculated as a percentage of the dry soil weight using the following formula:

$$
MC(\%) = \frac{(W2-W3)}{(W3-W1)} \times 100 \quad \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \tag{4}
$$

Where,

MC is the soil moisture content (%), W<sub>1</sub> is the weight of tin (g), W2 is the weight of moist soil  $+$  tin (g) and W3 is the weight of dry soil  $+$  tin (g).

The depth of irrigation was applied at different levels for approaches of limiting soil water conditions; the different irrigation levels are 1, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, and 0.2 that shown in Table 2. Apply irrigation depths of 66 mm at irrigation level 1, 52.8 mm at irrigation level 0.8, 39.6 mm at irrigation level 0.6, 26.4 mm at irrigation level 0.4, and 13.2 mm at irrigation level 0.2. When the soil moisture level is between 16 and 18%, irrigation water is applied. The highest total water

applied to wheat was 396 mm in the treatment  $T_1$ , followed by 316.8 mm in the treatment  $T_2$ , 237.6 mm in the treatment  $T_3$ , 158.4 mm in the treatment  $T<sub>4</sub>$ , and 79.2 mm in the treatment  $T<sub>5</sub>$ .

### **2.6 Irrigation Scheduling based upon Climatological Approaches**

This method schedules irrigation based on climatological factors and applies a specified quantity of water when pan evaporation reaches a predetermined level. Using predefined IW and ratio values, the objective of cumulative pan evaporation was derived using the following equation.

$$
CPE = \frac{\text{IW}}{\text{Ratio}} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \quad (5)
$$

Where,

CPE is the cumulative pan evaporation (mm/day) and

IW is the net depth of irrigation water (mm).





*Source: Department of Forestry and Environment at Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh*





Treatments	Level of	Depth of Irrigation	<b>Irrigation</b>	<b>Total Water Applied</b>
	<b>Irrigation</b>	(mm)	<b>Frequency</b>	(mm)
	$IW/CPE = 1.75$	66		396
Т,	$IW/CPE = 1.5$	66		330
3	$IW/CPE = 1$	66	4	264
	$IW/CPE = 0.75$	66		198
	$IW/CPE = 0.5$	66		132

**Table 3. Irrigation details as influenced by climatological factors**

The net depth of irrigation (IW) is 66 mm. Thus, irrigation was scheduled at 37.71 mm cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) in treatment  $T_1$ (IW/CPE=1.75), at 44 mm cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) in treatment  $T_2$  (IW/CPE=1.5), at 66 mm cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) in treatment  $T_3$  (IW/CPE=1.0), at 88 mm cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) in treatment  $T_4$ (IW/CPE=0.75) and at 132 mm cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) in treatment  $T_5$  (IW/CPE=0.5). The total water applied for wheat was recorded to be highest amount of water applied in treatment  $T_1$  396 mm under the irrigation level at (IW/CPE=1.75), followed by treatment  $T_2$ <br>(IW/CPE=1.5) 330 mm, treatment  $T_3$  $(IW/CPE=1.5)$  330 mm, treatment (IW/CPE=1.0) 264 mm and treatment  $T_4$ (IW/CPE=0.75) 198 mm while minimum amount of water applied in treatment  $T_5$  (IW/CPE=0.5) 132 mm that shown in Table 3.

### **2.7 Irrigation Scheduling based upon Approaches of Energy Balance**

The energy refers to the amount of heat or energy necessary to evaporate free water. Evapotranspiration is determined by energy exchange at the plant surface and is limited by the amount of available energy. To maintain equilibrium, the energy entering the surface must be equal to the energy leaving it during the same period. When developing an energy balance equation, all energy flows should be included [14]. The equation for an evaporating surface is as follows:

Rn - G - λET - H = 0 …………………….. (6)

Where,

Rn is the net radiation measured in  $MJm^{-2}day^{-1}$ , H is the sensible heat measured in MJm<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>, G is the soil heat flux measured in MJm $3$ day $1$ , λET is the latent heat flux measured in MJm  $^{2}$ day $^{-1}$ .

The field experiment is conducted in randomised block design, with three replications and five treatments. The net depth of irrigation is 66 mm and water depths can also be expressed in terms of energy received per unit area. The latent heat of vaporization, a kind of energy, depends on the temperature of the water. Evaporation of water requires relatively large amounts of energy, either in the form of sensible heat or radiant energy. Irrigation was scheduled at 115.5 mm water vaporized from soil (λET) in treatment  $T_1$  (EB=1.75), at 99 water vaporized from soil ( $\lambda$ ET) in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (EB=1.5), at 66 mm water vaporized from soil (λET) in treatment  $T_3$  (EB=1.0), at 49.5 mm water vaporized from soil ( $\lambda$ ET) in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (EB=0.75) and at 33 mm water vaporized from soil ( $\lambda$ ET) in treatment T<sub>5</sub> (EB=0.5). Total amount of water used for wheat was recorded to be highest amount of water in treatment  $T_5$  528 mm under the irrigation level at (EB=0.50), followed by  $T_4$  396 mm under the irrigation level at (EB=0.75),  $T_3$  330 mm under the irrigation level at (EB=1) and  $T_2$  264 mm under the irrigation level at (EB=1.5) while minimum amount of water  $T_1$  198 mm under the irrigation level at (E.B=1.75).





#### **2.8 Economic Analysis**

Economic analyses were performed for all irrigation scheduling options as well as various treatments. To examine the economic feasibility of all irrigation scheduling approaches under variable quantity of irrigation for wheat crop yield, both fixed and operational costs are considered. The total cost of crop production, gross return, net return, and benefit cost ratio of all irrigation scheduling systems were evaluated using the following assumptions:

The salvage value of the component is 0. The tube well, pumps motor, and pump house have a usable life of 25 years. An open channel conveyance system has a useful life of 5 years. Weeding and spraying equipment has a useful life of 7 years.

The interest rate is 10% and 7.5% for repairs and maintenance. Three crops are planted each year.

#### **2.8.1 Fixed cost**

The following methods were used to determine the fixed costs of water development, irrigation equipment, spraying and weeding equipment (James and Lee 1971);

Capital Recovery Factor (CRF)  $=\frac{i(1-i)^n}{(1-i)^n}$ ………….. (7)

Where,

i is the interest rate (fraction), n is the useful life of components (years)

Annual fixed cost/ha= CRF × Fixed Cost/ha.. (8)



#### **2.8.2 Operating cost**

The operating costs for labour charges (Irrigation, Planning, Weeding, Cultivation, Fertilizer and Chemical application, harvesting, threshing, etc.), land preparation, land rent, seeds, fertilisers, chemicals, water pumping repair and maintenance were estimated.

## **2.9 Total Cost of Crop Production**

The overall cost of crop production is the sum of fixed and operational costs.

Total cost of crop production = fixed cost  $+$ operating cost/ha …………. (10)

#### **2.10 Gross Return**

The gross return was calculated taking into consideration the grain yield and current wholesale price of wheat.

Gross return (Rs/ha) = Grain yield (t/ha)  $\times$ wholesale price of wheat  $(Rs/t)$ ……… (11)

#### **2.10.1 Net return**

The net return was calculated by deducting the various costs of cultivation from the gross return as follows:

Net return (Rs/ha) = gross return (Rs/ha) – total cost of crop production (Rs/ha) …… (12)

#### **2.10.2 Benefit cost ratio**

The benefit cost ratio was established by dividing gross returns by total cultivation costs.

#### Benefit cost ratio



## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The pooled data (2020-21 and 2021-22) of economics return for wheat as influenced by limiting soil water conditions, climatological factors and factors of energy balance under different irrigation scheduling are presented in Table 5. According to a statistical calculation of the data, differences in grain yield related to changes in the various amounts of irrigation treatments were considered to be statistically significant. Results showed in Table 5 below that the treatment  $T_1(396 \text{ mm})$  had the highest grain yield due to limiting soil water conditions 4.43 ton/ha, while the treatment  $T_5(79.2 \text{ mm})$  had the lowest 2.49 ton/ha. Significantly, treatment  $T<sub>2</sub>(330mm)$  had the greatest grain yield as impacted by climatological factors 4.64 ton/ha, whereas treatment  $T_5$  (132 mm) had the lowest<br>grain yield 2.84 ton/ha. Significantly, 2.84 ton/ha. Significantly, treatment  $T_4$  (396mm) had the greatest grain yield as impacted by the factors of energy balance 5.12 ton/ha, whereas treatment  $T_1$  (198 mm) had the lowest grain yield 3.55 ton/ha.



#### **Table 5. Pooled data of Economic return of wheat crop as influenced by different irrigation scheduling**

# **3.1 Cost of Wheat Production**

Table 5 that show the highest cost of wheat production as impacted by limiting soil water conditions was 46702.14 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>1</sub>(396$  mm), while the lowest cost of wheat production was 38052.68 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>5</sub>(79.2$  mm). Highest cost of production of wheat as influenced by climatological factor was 46702.14 Rs/ha recorded in treatment  $T_1(39)$ 6mm) while minimum cost of production of wheat in treatment  $T<sub>5</sub>(132 mm)$  39494.25 Rs/ha. The highest cost of wheat production as influenced by factors of energy balance was 50306.08 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>5</sub>(528 mm)$ , while the lowest cost of wheat production was 41296.22 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_1(198 \text{ mm})$ . These findings are consistent with those of other scientist [15- 17].

# **3.2 Gross Return**

Table 5 show the maximum gross return of wheat as impacted by limiting soil water conditions was 88566.67 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>1</sub>(396mm)$ , while the lowest gross return of wheat was 49766.67 Rs/ha in treatment

 $T<sub>5</sub>(79.2mm)$ . The maximum gross return of wheat as affected by climatological factors was 92833.33 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_2$ (330mm), while the smallest gross return of wheat was 56833.33 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_5(132 \text{mm})$ . The maximum gross return of wheat as influenced by factors of energy balance was 102333.33 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_4(396 \text{ mm})$ , while the lowest gross return of wheat was 71000.00 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>1</sub>(198$  mm). These findings are consistent with those of other scientist [15-17].

# **3.3 Net Return**

Table 5 show the highest net return of wheat as impacted by limiting soil water conditions was 41864.53 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>1</sub>(396mm)$ , while the lowest net return of wheat was 11731.99 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_5(79.2 \text{mm})$ . The maximum net return of wheat as influenced by climatological factors was 47933.17 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_2(330\text{mm})$ , while the lowest net return of wheat in treatment  $T_5(132 \text{mm})$  was 17339.08 Rs/ha. The maximum net return of wheat as impacted by factors of energy balance was 55631.20 Rs/ha in treatment  $T<sub>4</sub>(396mm)$ , while the lowest net return of wheat was 29703.78 Rs/ha in treatment  $T_1(198$ mm). These findings are consistent with those of other scientist [15-17].

# **3.4 B:C Ratio**

Table 5 show the maximum B:C ratio of wheat as impacted by limiting soil water conditions was 1.93 in treatment  $T_2$ (316.8mm), whereas the lowest B:C ratio of wheat in treatment  $T<sub>5</sub>(79.2mm)$  was 1.30. The maximum B:C ratio of wheat as influenced by climatological factor was 2.07 in treatment  $T_2$ (330mm), while the lowest B:C ratio of wheat in treatment  $T_5(132 \text{mm})$  was 1.44. The greatest B:C ratio of wheat as impacted by factor of energy balance was 2.19 in treatment  $T<sub>4</sub>(396mm)$ , while the lowest B:C ratio of wheat was 1.72 in treatment  $T_1(198mm)$ . These findings are consistent with those of other scientist [15-17].

# **3.5 Relationship between Total Water Applied and Economic Return**

#### **3.5.1 Relationship between total water applied and gross return**

Figs. 2-4 depict the relationship between total water applied and gross return of wheat as influenced by various irrigation scheduling approaches. Because of the limiting soil water condition, the total water applied and gross return of wheat showed a quadratic relationship  $R^2$ =0.95.  $R^2$ =0.89 for the quadratic relationship between total water applied and gross return of wheat as impacted by climatological factors. Total water applied and

wheat gross return as impacted by factor of energy balance have a quadratic relationship with  $\bar{R}^2 = 0.85$ .

### **3.5.2 Relationship between total water applied and net return**

The relationship between total water applied and gross return of wheat as influenced by different approaches of irrigation scheduling are shown in Figs. 5-7. The total water applied and net return of wheat impacted by limiting soil water conditions demonstrated a quadratic relationship  $R^2$ =0.92. The total water used and net return of wheat affected by climatological factors revealed a quadratic relationship  $R^2$ =0.85. The total water applied and net return of wheat as influenced by the factors of energy balance have a quadratic relationship  $R^2 = 0.75$ .

#### **3.5.3 Relationship between total water applied and B:C ratio**

The relationship between total water applied and B:C ratios of wheat is shown in Figs. 8-10. The total water applied and B:C ratio of wheat as influenced by limiting soil water conditions demonstrated a quadratic relationship,  $R^2$  = 0.90. The total water applied and B:C ratio of wheat as influenced by climatological factors revealed a quadratic relationship,  $\overline{R}^2$  = 0.82. The total water applied and B:C ratio of wheat as impacted by the factors of energy balance revealed a quadratic relationship,  $R^2 =$ 0.65.





*Mishra et al.; IJPSS, 34(23): 1510-1521, 2022; Article no.IJPSS*.*94396*



**Fig. 3. Relationship between total water applied and gross return as influenced by climatological factor**



**Fig. 4. Relationship between total water applied and gross return as influenced by factor of energy balance**



**Fig. 5. Relationship between total water applied and net return as influenced by limiting soil water conditions**

*Mishra et al.; IJPSS, 34(23): 1510-1521, 2022; Article no.IJPSS*.*94396*



**Fig. 6. Relationship between total water applied and net return as influenced by climatological factors**



**Fig. 7. Relationship between total water applied and net return as influenced by factors of energy balance**



**Fig. 8. Relationship between total water applied and B:C ratio as influenced by limiting soil water conditions**



**Fig. 9. Relationship between total water applied and B:C ratio as influenced by climatological factors**



**Fig. 10. Relationship between total water applied and B:C ratio as influenced by factors of energy balance**

# **4. CONCLUSION**

The most expensive aspects of the process of producing wheat are the expenditures associated with irrigating the land, preparing the soil, and harvesting the wheat. The important variables reducing the production of the crop are unfavourable meteorological conditions, bad agricultural methods, and a deficit of irrigation. The productivity of wheat crops may be greatly improved, and it is necessary to make it easier to use production inputs, particularly irrigation water inputs. Irrigation was scheduled as influenced by limiting soil water conditions and the maximum benefit cost ratio 1.93 was found with 316.8 mm of total water applied at irrigation level 20 % soil moisture depletion. Irrigation was scheduled as influenced by climatological factors, the maximum benefit cost ratio 2.07 was found with 330 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (IW/CPE=1.5). Irrigation was scheduled as

influenced by factors of energy balance, the maximum benefit cost ratio 2.19 was found with 396 mm of total water applied at irrigation level (EB=0.75). In most cases, a decrease in a farmer's returns may be attributed to the absence of official financing, the restricted availability of informal financing, and the high cost of producing wheat. The government should update and stabilise the support prices of major crops annually to help the agricultural community and prices are publicised before to planting. The farmers then make plans for when and how much irrigation, how much land, how much fertiliser, and how many other resources will be dedicated to each crop.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. World Bank. India: Re-energizing the Agricultural Sector to Sustain Growth and Reduce Poverty, Report No 27889; 2004.
- 2. Caparas M, Zobel Z, Castanho ADA, Schwalm CR. Increasing risks of crop failure and water scarcity in global breadbaskets by 2030. Environ. Res. Lett. 2021;16(104013):1-12.
- 3. Turral H, Burke J, Faures JM. Climate change, water and food security. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) Rome, Italy; 2011.
- 4. Levidow L, Zaccaria D, Maia R, Vivas E, Todorovic M, Scardigno A. Improving water-efficient irrigation: Prospects and difficulties of innovative practices. Agricultural Water Management 2014;146:84-94.
- 5. Enghiad A, Ufer D, Countryman AM, Thilmany DD. An overview of global wheat market fundamentals in an era of climate concerns. International Journal of Agronomy Volume, 2017;3931897:1-15.
- 6. Fazily T. Effect of Sowing Dates and Seed Rates on Growth and Yield of Different Wheat Varieties: A Review. International Journal of Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology. 2021;8(3):10-26
- 7. Gupta RK, Seth A. A review of resource conserving technologies for sustainable management of the rice wheat systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Crop Protection. 2007;26(3):436-447.
- 8. Hatfield JL, Dold C. Water use efficiency: advances and challenges in a changing climate. *Front. Plant Sci*. 2019;10:103:1- 14.
- 9. Saher R, Middel A, Stephen H, Ahmad S. Assessing the microclimate effects and

irrigation water requirements of Mesic. Hydrology. 2022;9:104:1-19.

- 10. Koech R, Langat P. Review Improving Irrigation Water Use Efficiency. Water. 2018;10:1771; 1-17.
- 11. Dalton TJ, Files A, Yarborough D. Investment, Ownership and Operating Costs of Supplemental Irrigation Systems for Maine Wild Blueberries. MAFES Technical Bulletin. 2002;183:1-62.
- 12. Parween F, Kunari P, Singh A. Irrigation water pricing policies and water resources management. Water Policy. 2021;23(1): 130–141.
- 13. Sachs HM, Russell C, Rogers EA, Nadel S. Depreciation: Impacts of Tax Policy. American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy. 2012;529:1-14.
- 14. Allen RG, Pereira LS, Raes D, Smith M. Crop evapotranspiration. Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. United Nations and FAO, Rome; 1998.
- 15. Tasal A, Pawar DD. Production and Economics of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Under Drip Fertigation. International Journal of Science and Research. 2013;4(5):907-1909.
- 16. Khan K, Prakash HG, Singh DP, Kumar A, Singh BP. Performance of different cultivars of wheat (triticum aestivum) on productivity and profitability under irrigated conditions of riverine trait of central u.p*.*  IJABR. 2019;9(4):292-294.
- 17. Mukherjee D, Mahapatra S, Singh DP. Rainfed Wheat Production through Efficient Water Management and Disease Control Measures in New Alluvial Zone. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2019; 46:(7):11-14.

\_ *© 2022 Mishra et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94396*