Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics



Volume 21, Issue 2, Page 44-58, 2023; Article no.AJPAS.89569 ISSN: 2582-0230

Combined Exponential-Type Estimators for Finite Population Mean in Two-Phase Sampling

G. M. Oyeyemi^a, I. Muhammad^{b*} and A. O. Kareem^c

^a Department of Statistics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria. ^b Department of Statistics, Binyaminu Usman Polytechnic, Hadejia, Nigeria. ^c National Institute for Security Studies, Abuja, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJPAS/2023/v21i2462

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89569

> Received: 09/05/2022 Accepted: 13/07/2022 Published: 22/02/2023

Original Research Article

Abstract

A family of exponential-type estimator for estimating population mean in two-phase sampling when the population proportion of the auxiliary character is available is proposed in this paper. Theoretically, the bias and minimum mean square error (MSE) for the proposed estimator are obtained. The expression for MSE of the proposed exponential-type of estimator is compared with the existing estimators in the literature. The optimum values of the parameters are determined. An empirical study was carried out by comparing the proposed estimators with some of the existing estimators reviewed in the literature based on the criteria of bias, mean square error (MSE) and relative efficiency using life datasets. The result of the comparisons showed that the proposed exponential-type estimators produce a better estimate of finite population mean than the existing estimators in the sense of having higher percentage relative efficiency which implies lesser mean square error and bias. Furthermore, the realistic conditions under which the proposed class of exponential-type estimators is more efficient were also presented. Thus, the proposed estimators can be considered as significant alternatives to estimating population characteristics of real life datasets.

Asian J. Prob. Stat., vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 44-58, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: isahsta@gmail.com;

Keywords: Auxiliary variable; two-phase sampling; mean square error; bias; efficiency.

1 Introduction

The estimation of the population mean by ratio, regression, and other methods of estimation in single phase sampling calls for the auxiliary data in the form of a population parameter. A two-phase sampling strategy can be employed if this information is not accessible, where a large first-phase sample measured over the auxiliary variable x is utilized to get an accurate estimate of the population parameters. A second-phase sample can then be taken and the study variable y with an auxiliary variable x can be observed. Singh et al. [1] and Muhammad et al. [2] discussed that the major advantage of using two-phase sampling is the gain in high precision without a substantial increase in cost. Several authors improved ratio and regression estimators by adopting at least one auxiliary variable in two phase sampling scheme. Singh and Ruiz-Espejo [3], Muhammad et al. [4], Zakari et al. [5] suggested a class of ratio-product estimators in two phase sampling with its properties and identified asymptotically optimum estimators from proposed class of estimators. Zaman and Kadilar [6] proposed a new class of exponential type estimator in two phase sampling schemes. Rao [7], Audu et al. [8], Audu et al. [9] suggested some estimators in two-phase sampling to stratification, non-response problems and investigative comparisons. Yadav and Adewara [10] worked on the estimation of population mean of the variable of study utilizing improved ratio-product type exponential estimator and qualitative auxiliary information and establish that the proposed estimator which under optimum conditions performs better than the usual sample mean estimator. Singh and Upadhyaya [11] suggested a generalized estimator to estimate the population mean using two auxiliary variables in the two-phase sampling. However, ratio-based estimators can only be applied when the correlation between the study and auxiliary variables is positively strong. Similarly, the regression type estimator can be applied, when the regression slope does not pass through the origin, and for the product-based estimators, when the estimators are negatively correlated. The reviewed existing estimators though efficient but possesses large values of bias and mean square error and as such they can be improve upon by obtaining most efficient estimators that possesses the least values of bias and mean square error than the reviewed existing estimators. Therefore, it is based on this background this paper under simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) proposed an efficient combined exponential-type estimator in two phase sampling for finite population that handles all the situations.

Following the introduction is section two which contains usual notations and literature review while section three presents methodology of the study. Section four discusses the results while conclusion is presented in section five.

2 Materials and Methods

Consider a sample of size n drawn by simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) from a population of size N: Let y_i and ϕ_i denote the observations on variable y and ϕ espectively for *i*th unit (i = 1, 2, ..., N).

Let $\phi_i = 1$; if the *i*th unit of the population possesses attribute, $\phi = 0$; otherwise

Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i$ and $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i$, denote the total number of units in the population and sample respectively possessing attribute ϕ . Let $P = \frac{A}{N}$ and $p = \frac{a}{n}$ denote the proportion of units in the population and sample respectively possessing attribute ϕ .

When P is not known, two-phase sampling is used to estimate the population mean of the study variable. Consider a finite population $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, ..., \zeta_n)$. Let y and p be the study and auxiliary variable, taking values y_i and p_i , respectively, for the *i*th unit ζ_i . Under the double sampling scheme, two cases are used for the selection of the required sample as follows:

Case-I. The first phase sample $S'(S' \subset \zeta)$ of a fixed size n' is drawn to measure only on the auxiliary attribute p in order to formulate a good estimate of a population proportion P.

Case-II. Given S', the second phase sample $S(S \subset S')$ of a fixed size *n* is drawn to measure the study variable *y*.

Note that:

$$\overline{y} = 1/n \sum_{i \in S} y_i, \quad p = 1/n \sum_{i \in S} a_i \text{, and } p' = 1/n \sum_{i \in S'} a_i, \quad C_y = S_y/\overline{Y}, \qquad C_p = S_p/P, \qquad \rho_{pb} = S_{yp}/(S_yS_p), \quad S_y^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \overline{Y})^2}{N-1}, \quad S_p^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (p_i - P)^2}{N-1}, \quad \text{and } S_{yp} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \overline{Y})(p_i - P)}{N-1}.$$

where p' is the proportion of units possessing attribute ϕ in the first phase sample of size n'; p is the proportion of units possessing attribute ϕ in the second phase sample of size n' > n and \overline{y} is the mean of the study variable y in the second phase sample Zaman and Kadilar [6].

Naik and Gupta [12], Zakari et al. [13] suggested the classical ratio type estimator of the population mean utilizing the auxiliary attribute under the simple random sampling as

$$t_{NG} = \overline{y} \frac{P}{p'}$$
(1)

Kumar and Bahl [14] suggested a ratio estimator of the population mean utilizing the auxiliary attribute under two-phase sampling as

$$t^{d}{}_{NG1} = \overline{y}\frac{p}{p}$$
(2)

The mean square error (MSE) equations of t^{d}_{NG1} up to the first order of approximation, for Case-I and Case-II are given respectively as;

$$MSE(t^{d}_{NG1})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} [\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda - \lambda') (C_{p}^{2} - 2\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p})]$$
(3)

$$MSE(t^{d}_{NG1})_{II} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda + \lambda')C_{p}^{2} - 2\lambda\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p} \right]$$
⁽⁴⁾

Where $\lambda = \frac{1-\frac{n}{N}}{n} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} = \frac{N-n}{Nn}$, $\lambda' = \frac{1-\frac{n'}{N}}{n'} = \frac{1}{n'} - \frac{1}{N} = \frac{N-n'}{Nn'}$, ρ_{pb} is the population coefficient of correlation between the auxiliary attribute and study variable. C_p is the population coefficient of variation for the form of attribute and C_v is the population coefficient of variation of the study variable.

Singh and Choudhury [15] product estimator of the population mean in the two-phase sampling using information about the population proportion is given by

$$t^{d}_{NG2} = \overline{y} \frac{p}{p'}$$
⁽⁵⁾

The mean square error (MSE) equations of t^{d}_{NG2} ; up to the first order of approximation, for Case-I and Case-II are given respectively as;

$$MSE(t^{d}_{NG2})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda - \lambda') \left(C_{p}^{2} + 2\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p} \right) \right]$$
(6)

$$MSE(t^{d}_{NG2})_{II} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda + \lambda')C_{p}^{2} + 2\lambda\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p} \right]$$
(7)

Kumar and Bahl [14] using the information about the population proportion, they suggested the dual to ratio estimator of the population mean under the two-phase sampling as

$$t^{*d}_{NG1} = \overline{y} \frac{p^{'*}}{P}$$
(8)

The mean square error (MSE) expressions of t^{*d}_{NG1} ; up to the first order of approximation, for Case-I and Case-II respectively are

$$MSE(t^{*d}_{NG1})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n'-n} (\lambda + \lambda') \left(\frac{n}{n'-n} C_{p}^{2} - 2\rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right]$$
(9)

$$MSE(t^{*d}_{NG1})_{II} = \overline{Y}^2 \left[\lambda C_y^2 + \frac{n}{n'-n} \left\{ \frac{n}{n'-n} (\lambda + \lambda') C_p^2 - 2\lambda \rho_{pb} C_y C_p \right\} \right]$$
(10)

Singh and Choudhury [15] considering the information about the population proposed the dual to product estimator of the population mean as

$$t^{*d}_{NG2} = \overline{y} \frac{p}{p'^*} \tag{11}$$

The mean square error (MSE) expressions of t^{*d}_{NG2} ; up to the first order of approximation, for Case-I and Case-II respectively are

$$MSE(t^{*d}_{NG2})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n'-n} (\lambda + \lambda') \left(\frac{n}{n'-n} C_{p}^{2} + 2\rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right]$$
(12)

$$MSE(t^{*d}_{NG2})_{II} = \overline{Y}^2 \left[\lambda C_y^2 + \frac{n}{n'-n} \left\{ \frac{n}{n'-n} (\lambda + \lambda') C_p^2 + 2\lambda \rho_{pb} C_y C_p \right\} \right]$$
(13)

Singh et al. [1] suggested the two-phase ratio and product type exponential estimators when information about auxiliary attribute is available, respectively as:

$$t_{S1} = \overline{y} exp\left(\frac{p'-p}{p'+p}\right) \tag{14}$$

$$t_{S2} = \overline{y} exp\left(\frac{p-p'}{p+p'}\right) \tag{15}$$

The mean square error (MSE) expressions of t_{S1} and t_{S2} in equations (14) and (15) up to the first order of approximation, for Case-II and Case-II are given respectively by

$$MSE(t_{S1})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda - \lambda') \left(\frac{C_{p}^{2}}{4} - \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right]$$
(16)

$$MSE(t_{S1})_{II} = \overline{Y}^2 \left[\lambda C_y^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\lambda + \lambda') C_p^2 - \lambda \rho_{pb} C_y C_p \right]$$
(17)

and

$$MSE(t_{S2})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda - \lambda') \left(\frac{C_{p}^{2}}{4} + \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right]$$
(18)

$$MSE(t_{S2})_{II} = \overline{Y}^2 \left[\lambda C_y^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\lambda + \lambda') C_p^2 + \lambda \rho_{pb} C_y C_p \right]$$
(19)

Kalita and Singh [16] proposed exponential dual to ratio and exponential dual to product estimator in the twophase sampling respectively as

$$t_{S1}^* = \overline{y}exp\left(\frac{p^{'*}-p}{p^{'*}+p}\right)$$
(20)

$$t_{S2}^* = \overline{y} exp\left(\frac{p - p^{'*}}{p + p^{'*}}\right)$$
(21)

For Case-I and Case-II, the mean square error (MSE) equations of the ratio and product estimators in equations (20) and (21) are respectively given by

$$MSE(t_{S1}^{*})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n'-n} (\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ \frac{n}{4(n'-n)} C_{p}^{2} - \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right\} \right]$$
(22)

$$MSE(t_{S1}^{*})_{II} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n^{2}}{4(n'-n)^{2}} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - \lambda \frac{n}{n'-n} \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right]$$
(23)

$$MSE(t_{S2}^*)_I = \overline{Y}^2 \left[\vartheta \lambda + \frac{n}{n'-n} (\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ \frac{n}{4(n'-n)} C_p^2 + \rho_{pb} C_y C_p \right\} \right]$$
(24)

$$MSE(t_{S2}^{*})_{II} = \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n^{2}}{4(n'-n)^{2}} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} + \lambda \frac{n}{n'-n} \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right]$$
(25)

3. Proposed Estimator

In this section, a new combined exponential-type estimator is proposed using information about the population proportion possessing certain attributes in two-phase sampling as:

$$\hat{t}_{CEi} = \overline{y} \Big[\beta_1 + \beta_2 (p' - p) \Big] \exp \left\{ \frac{\left(a_x p' + b_x\right) - \left(a_x p + b_x\right)}{\left(a_x p' + b_x\right) + \left(a_x p + b_x\right)} \right\}$$
(26)

where β_1 and β_2 are real parameters to be determined such that the mean square error of t_{CEi} is minimum, $a_x \neq 0$ and b_x are either real number or the functions of the known parameters of the attribute, C_p , $\beta_2(\phi)$ and the known parameter of the attribute with the study variable, ρ_{pb} . Some classes of the proposed estimator of the population mean are obtained using the suitable choices of constants a_x and b_x and shown in Table 1.

Estimators	a_x	Values of <i>b_x</i>
$\hat{t}_{CE1} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{p' - p}{p' + p + 2\beta_2(\phi))}\right\}$	1	$\beta_2(\phi)$
$\hat{t}_{CE2} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{p' - p}{p' + p + 2C_p}\right\}$	1	C_p
$\hat{F}_{CE3} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{p' - p}{p' + p + 2\rho_{pb}}\right\}$	1	$ ho_{pb}$
$\hat{t}_{CE4} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{\beta_2(\phi)(p' - p)}{\beta_2(\phi)(p' + p) + 2C_p)}\right\}$	$eta_2(\phi)$	C_p
$\hat{t}_{CE5} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{\beta_2(\phi)(p' - p)}{\beta_2(\phi)(p' + p) + 2\rho_{pb}}\right\}$	$eta_2(\phi)$	$ ho_{pb}$
$\hat{F}_{CE6} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{C_p(p' - p)}{C_p(p' + p) + 2\beta_2(\phi))}\right\}$	C_p	$eta_2(\phi)$
$C_{CE7} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{C_p(p' - p)}{C_p(p' + p) + 2\rho_{pb}}\right\}$	C_p	$ ho_{pb}$
$\hat{F}_{CE8} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{\rho_{pb}(p' - p)}{\rho_{pb}(p' + p) + 2\beta_2(\phi)}\right\}$	$ ho_{pb}$	$eta_2(\phi)$
$\hat{t}_{CE9} = \overline{y}[\beta_1 + \beta_2(p' - p)]exp\left\{\frac{\rho_{pb}(p' - p)}{\rho_{pb}(p' + p) + 2C_p}\right\}$	$ ho_{pb}$	C_p
$\theta_1 = \frac{P}{2(P + \beta_2(\phi))}; \qquad \theta_2 = \frac{P}{2(P + C_n)}; \qquad \theta_3 = \frac{P}{2(P + C_n)};$	$\frac{P}{P}$; $\theta_4 = \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{\beta_2(\phi)P}{(1)P+(C)};$

Table 1. Suggested classes of combined exponential estimators

$$\theta_{5} = \frac{\beta_{2}(\phi)P}{2(\beta_{2}(\phi)P + \rho_{pb})}; \ \theta_{6} = \frac{C_{p}P}{2(C_{p}P + \beta_{2}(\phi))}; \ \theta_{7} = \frac{C_{p}P}{2(C_{p}P + \rho_{pb})}; \ \theta_{8} = \frac{\rho_{pb}P}{2(\rho_{pb}P + \beta_{2}(\phi))};$$
$$\theta_{9} = \frac{\rho_{pb}P}{2(\rho_{pb}P + C_{p})}$$

Properties of the Proposed Estimator

Case-I. To obtain the properties of the estimator \hat{t}_{CEi} , let $\overline{y} = \overline{Y}(1 + e_0)$, $p = P(1 + e_1)$, and $p' = P(1 + e_1')$ Such that

$$E(e_0) = E(e_1) = E(e_1') = 0$$

$$E(e_0^2) = \lambda C_y^2, \quad E(e_1^2) = \lambda C_p^2, \quad E(e_1'^2) = \lambda' C_p^2$$

$$E(e_0e_1) = \lambda \rho C_y C_p, \quad E(e_0e_1') = \lambda' \rho C_y C_p, \quad E(e_1e_1') = \lambda' C_p^2$$

Expressing the estimator \hat{t}_{CEi} in terms of e_i (i = 0, 1) we can write (26) as

$$\hat{t}_{CEi} = \overline{Y} (1 + e_0) \Big[\beta_1 + \beta_2 \Big[P(1 + e_1) - P(1 + e_1) \Big] \Big] \exp \left\{ \frac{\Big[a_x P(1 + e_1) + b_x \Big] - \Big[a_x P(1 + e_1) + b_x \Big] \Big]}{\Big[a_x P(1 + e_1) + b_x \Big] + \Big[a_x P(1 + e_1) + b_x \Big] \right\}$$
(27)

1

By some appropriate simplifications, (27) becomes

$$\hat{t}_{CEi} = \overline{Y}(1+e_0) \Big[\beta_1 + \beta_2 \Big(P + Pe_1 - P - Pe_1 \Big) \Big] \exp \left\{ \frac{a_x P(e_1 - e_1)}{2(a_x P + b_x) \Big[1 + \frac{a_x P(e_1 + e_1)}{2(a_x P + b_x)} \Big]} \right\}$$
(28)

$$\theta = \frac{a_x P}{2(a_x P + b_x)} \text{ from (28), we get}$$

By Letting

$$\hat{t}_{CEi} = \bar{Y}(1+e_0) \Big[\beta_1 + \beta_2 (Pe_1 - pe_1) \Big] \exp \Big\{ \theta(e_1 - e_1) \Big[1 + \theta(e_1 + e_1) \Big]^{-1} \Big\}$$
(29)

Thus it follows

$$\hat{t}_{CEi} = \overline{Y} \Big[\beta_1 + \beta_1 e_0 - \beta_2 P e_1 + \beta_2 P e_1 + \beta_2 P e_0 e_1 - \beta_2 P e_0 e_1 \Big] * \left\{ 1 + \theta e_1 - \theta e_1 - \frac{\theta^2 e_1^{\prime 2}}{2} + \frac{3\theta^2 e_1^{\prime 2}}{2} - \theta^2 e_1 e_1 \right\}$$
(30)

Expanding the right hand side of (30) to the first order of approximation, multiplying out and neglecting the terms of e's greater than two, it gives

$$\hat{t}_{CEi} = \overline{Y} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 + \beta_1 e_0 - (\theta\beta_1 + \beta_2 P)e_1 + (\theta\beta_1 + \beta_2 P)e_1 + \frac{(3\theta^2\beta_1 + 2\theta P\beta_2)e_1^2}{2} - \frac{(\theta^2\beta_1 - 2\theta P\beta_2)e_1^2}{2} \\ -(\theta\beta_1 + \beta_2 P)e_0e_1 + (\theta\beta_1 + \beta_2 P)e_0e_1 - (\theta^2\beta_1 - 2\theta P\beta_2)e_1e_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(31)

Subtracting \overline{Y} and taking expectation to both sides of (31), the bias of the estimator t_{CEi} is derived as

$$Bias(\hat{t}_{CEi}) = \overline{Y}\left[\left(\beta_1 - 1\right) + \left(\lambda - \lambda'\right) \left\{\frac{\left(3\theta^2\beta_1 + 2\theta P\beta_2\right)C_p^2}{2} - \left(\theta\beta_1 + P\beta_2\right)\rho C_y C_p\right\}\right]$$
(32)

Similarly, subtracting \overline{Y} , taking expectation, and squaring both sides of (31), the mean squared error is obtained as

$$E\left[\hat{t}_{CEi} - \bar{Y}\right]^{2} = \bar{Y}^{2}E\begin{bmatrix}\left(\beta_{1} - 1\right) + \beta_{1}e_{0} - \left(\theta\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}P\right)e_{1} + \left(\theta\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}P\right)e_{1} + \frac{\left(3\theta^{2}\beta_{1} + 2\theta P\beta_{2}\right)e_{1}^{2}}{2}\\-\frac{\left(\theta^{2}\beta_{1} - 2\theta P\beta_{2}\right)e_{1}^{2}}{2} - \left(\theta\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}P\right)e_{0}e_{1} + \left(\theta\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}P\right)e_{0}e_{1}^{2} - \left(\theta^{2}\beta_{1} - 2\theta P\beta_{2}\right)e_{1}e_{1}^{2}\end{bmatrix}^{2}$$
(33)

$$MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} = \overline{Y}^{2}E \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1}^{2}\left\{1+\lambda C_{y}^{2}+4\theta^{2}\left(\lambda-2\lambda'\right)C_{p}^{2}-4\theta\left(\lambda-\lambda'\right)\rho C_{y}C_{p}\right\}+\beta_{2}^{2}\left\{P^{2}\left(\lambda+\lambda'\right)C_{p}^{2}\right\}\\ +\beta_{1}\beta_{2}\left\{4P(\lambda-\lambda')\left[\theta C_{p}^{2}-\rho C_{y}C_{p}\right]\right\}-\beta_{1}\left\{2+3\theta^{2}(\lambda-3\lambda')C_{p}^{2}-2\theta(\lambda-\lambda')\rho C_{y}C_{p}\right\}\\ -\beta_{2}\left\{2P\left(\lambda-\lambda'\right)\left[\theta C_{p}^{2}-\rho C_{y}C_{p}\right]\right\}+1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(34)$$

Denoting the known coefficients of β_1^2 , β_2^2 , $\beta_1\beta_2$, β_1 , and β_2 by A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , A_4 , and A_5 respectively, the MSE of the estimator \hat{t}_{REi} from (34) reduced to

$$MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} = \bar{Y}^{2} \left[\beta_{1}^{2}A_{1} + \beta_{2}^{2}A_{2} + \beta_{1}\beta_{2}A_{3} - \beta_{1}A_{4} - \beta_{2}A_{5} + 1\right]$$
(35)

Differentiating (35) partially with respect to β_1 and β_2 , and equating it to be zero respectively, we get

$$\frac{\partial MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})}{\partial \beta_1} = 0 \Longrightarrow \bar{Y}^2 \left[2A_1\beta_1 + A_3\beta_2 - A_4 \right] = 0$$
(36)

$$\frac{\partial MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})}{\partial \beta_2} = 0 \Longrightarrow \bar{Y}^2 \left[2A_2\beta_2 + A_3\beta_1 - A_5 \right] = 0$$
(37)

Solving (36) and (37) simultaneously, we obtained the optimum values β_1 and β_2 respectively as

$$\beta_{1(opt)} \frac{2A_2A_4 - A_3A_5}{4A_1A_2 - A_3^2}$$

and

$$\beta_{1(opt)} \frac{2A_1A_5 - A_3A_4}{4A_1A_2 - A_3^2}$$

Substituting the optimum values of β_1 and β_2 into (35) to obtain the minimum mean square error, we have

$$MSE_{\min}\left(\hat{t}_{CEi}\right)_{I} = \bar{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \left\{\frac{A_{3}^{2}A_{4}\left(A_{5} - A_{2}A_{4}\right) - 4A_{1}A_{2}A_{4}\left(A_{3}A_{5} - A_{2}A_{4}\right) - A_{1}A_{5}^{2}\left(A_{3}^{2} - 4A_{1}A_{2}\right)}{\left(4A_{1}A_{2} - A_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}\right]_{(38)}$$

Case-II. To obtain the properties of the estimator \hat{t}_{CEi} , let $\overline{y} = \overline{Y}(1 + e_0)$, $p = P(1 + e_1)$, and

$$p' = P(1 + e'_{1}) \text{ Such that}$$

$$E(e_{0}) = E(e_{1}) = E(e'_{1}) = 0$$

$$E(e_{0}^{2}) = \lambda C_{y}^{2}, \quad E(e_{1}^{2}) = \lambda C_{p}^{2}, \quad E\left(e'_{1}^{2}\right) = \lambda' C_{p}^{2}$$

$$E(e_{0}e_{1}) = \lambda \rho C_{y}C_{p}, \quad E(e_{0}e'_{1}) = 0, \quad E(e_{1}e'_{1}) = 0$$

Subtracting \overline{Y} and taking expectation to both sides of (31), we obtained the bias of the estimator \hat{t}_{CEi} for case II as

$$Bias(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} = \bar{Y}\left[(\beta_1 - 1) + \frac{(3\theta^2\beta_1 + 2\theta P\beta_2)\lambda C_p^2}{2} - \frac{(\theta^2\beta_1 - 2\theta P\beta_2)\lambda C_p^2}{2} - (\theta\beta_1 + P\beta_2)\lambda\rho C_y C_p\right]$$
(39)

Which reduced to (40) after some appropriate simplification

$$Bias(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} = \overline{Y}\left[\left(\beta_{1}-1\right) + \left\{\frac{\left(3\theta^{2}\beta_{1}+2\theta P\beta_{2}\right)\lambda}{2} - \frac{\left(\theta^{2}\beta_{1}-2\theta P\beta_{2}\right)\lambda'}{2}\right\}C_{p}^{2} - \left(\theta\beta_{1}+P\beta_{2}\right)\lambda\rho C_{y}C_{p}\right]$$

$$\tag{40}$$

Similarly, subtracting \overline{Y} , taking expectation, and squaring both sides of (31), to the first order of approximation, we get the MSE of the estimator \hat{t}_{CEi} for case II as

$$MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} = \overline{Y}^{2}E\begin{bmatrix} (\beta_{1}-1)^{2} + \beta_{1}^{2}\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\theta\beta_{1}+P\beta_{2})^{2}\lambda C_{p}^{2} + (\theta\beta_{1}+P\beta_{2})^{2}\lambda^{2}C_{p}^{2} \\ + (\beta_{1}-1)(3\theta^{2}\beta_{1}+2\theta P\beta_{2})\lambda C_{p}^{2} - (\beta_{1}-1)(\theta^{2}\beta_{1}-2\theta P\beta_{2})\lambda^{2}C_{p}^{2} \\ -2(\beta_{1}-1)(\theta\beta_{1}+P\beta_{2})\lambda\rho C_{y}C_{p} - 2\beta_{1}(\theta\beta_{1}+P\beta_{2})\lambda\rho C_{y}C_{p} \end{bmatrix}$$
(41)

Thus, it follows

$$MSE\left(\hat{t}_{CEi}\right)_{II} = \bar{Y}^{2}E\begin{bmatrix}\beta_{1}^{2}\left\{1+\lambda C_{y}^{2}+4\theta\lambda\left(\theta C_{p}^{2}-\rho C_{y} C_{p}\right)\right\}+\beta_{2}^{2}\left\{P^{2}\left(\lambda+\lambda^{'}\right)C_{p}^{2}\right\}\\+\beta_{1}\beta_{2}\left\{4\theta P\left(\lambda-\lambda^{'}\right)C_{p}^{2}-4P\lambda\rho C_{y} C_{p}\right\}-\beta_{1}\left\{2+\theta^{2}\left(3\lambda-\lambda^{'}\right)C_{p}^{2}-2\theta\lambda\rho C_{y} C_{p}\right\}\\-\beta_{2}\left\{2\theta P\left(\lambda+\lambda^{'}\right)C_{p}^{2}-2P\lambda\rho C_{y} C_{p}\right\}+1\tag{42}$$

Denoting the known coefficients of β_1^2 , β_2^2 , $\beta_1\beta_2$, β_1 , and β_2 by B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , B_4 , and B_5 respectively, the MSE of the estimator \hat{t}_{CEi} from (43) reduced to

$$MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} = \bar{Y}^{2} \Big[\beta_{1}^{2}B_{1} + \beta_{2}^{2}B_{2} + \beta_{1}\beta_{2}B_{3} - \beta_{1}B_{4} - \beta_{2}B_{5} + 1\Big]$$
(44)

Differentiating (44) partially with respect to β_1 and β_2 , equating it to be zero respectively, we get

$$\frac{\partial MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})}{\partial \beta_1} = 0 \Longrightarrow \overline{Y}^2 \left[2B_1\beta_1 + B_3\beta_2 - B_4 \right] = 0$$
(45)

$$\frac{\partial MSE(\hat{t}_{CEi})}{\partial \beta_2} = 0 \Longrightarrow \bar{Y}^2 \left[2B_2\beta_2 + B_3\beta_1 - B_5 \right] = 0$$
(46)

Solving (45) and (46) simultaneously, we obtained the optimum values β_1 and β_2 respectively as

$$\beta_{1(opt)} \frac{2B_2B_4 - B_3B_5}{4B_1B_2 - B_3^2}$$

and

$$\beta_{1(opt)} \frac{2B_1B_5 - B_3B_4}{4B_1B_2 - B_3^2}$$

Substituting the optimum values of β_1 and β_2 into (44) to obtain the minimum mean square error for the case II, we get

$$MSE_{\min}\left(\hat{t}_{CEi}\right)_{II} = \bar{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \left\{\frac{B_{3}^{2}B_{4}\left(B_{5} - B_{2}B_{4}\right) - 4B_{1}B_{2}B_{4}\left(B_{3}B_{5} - B_{2}B_{4}\right) - B_{1}B_{5}^{2}\left(B_{3}^{2} - 4B_{1}B_{2}\right)}{\left(4B_{1}B_{2} - B_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\}\right]_{(47)}$$

3.1 Theoretical efficiency comparisons

Recall that the variance of the sample mean under simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) is

$$V(\bar{y}) = \bar{Y}^2 \lambda C_y^2 \tag{48}$$

Case-I and the Proposed Estimators

The proposed estimators in (38) are more efficient than sample mean in (48) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} < V(\bar{y}); \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \lambda C_{y}^{2}$$

where, $K = A_{3}^{2} A_{4} (A_{5} - A_{2}A_{4}) - 4A_{1}A_{2}A_{4} (A_{3}A_{5} - A_{2}A_{4}) - A_{1}A_{5}^{2} (A_{3}^{2} - 4A_{1}A_{2}) \text{ and } V = (4A_{1}A_{2} - A_{3}^{2})$
$$\left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} - \lambda C_{y}^{2} \right] < 0$$
(49)

The proposed estimators in (38) are more efficient than usual ratio estimator in (3) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} < MSE(t^{d}_{NG1})_{I}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda + \lambda') (C_{p}^{2} - 2\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p}) \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda + \lambda') (C_{p}^{2} - 2\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p}) \right) \right] < 0$$
(50)

The proposed estimators in (38) are more efficient than ratio estimator in (9) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} < MSE(t^{*d}_{NG1})_{I}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n' - n} (\lambda + \lambda') \left(\frac{n}{n' - n} C_{p}^{2} - 2\rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n' - n} (\lambda + \lambda') \left(\frac{n}{n' - n} C_{p}^{2} - 2\rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right) \right] < 0$$
(51)

The proposed estimators in (38) are more efficient than ratio exponential estimator in (16) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} < MSE(t_{S1})_{I}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda - \lambda') \left(\frac{C_{p}^{2}}{4} - \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda - \lambda') \left(\frac{C_{p}^{2}}{4} - \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right) \right] < 0$$
(52)

The proposed estimators in (38) are more efficient than ratio exponential estimator in (22) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{I} < MSE(t_{S1}^{*})_{I}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n' - n} (\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ \frac{n}{4(n' - n)} C_{p}^{2} - \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right\} \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{K}{V^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n' - n} (\lambda - \lambda') \left\{ \frac{n}{4(n' - n)} C_{p}^{2} - \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right\} \right) \right] < 0$$
(53)

Case-II and the Proposed Estimators

The proposed estimators in (47) are more efficient than sample mean in (48) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} < V(\bar{y}); \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$
$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \lambda C_{y}^{2}$$
$$B_{2}B_{4}(B_{2}B_{7} - B_{2}B_{4}) - B_{4}B_{7}^{2}(B_{2}^{2} - 4B_{1}B_{2}) \text{ are}$$

where, $T = B_3^2 B_4 (B_5 - B_2 B_4) - 4B_1 B_2 B_4 (B_3 B_5 - B_2 B_4) - B_1 B_5^2 (B_3^2 - 4B_1 B_2)$ and $Z = (4B_1 B_2 - B_3^2)$

$$\left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^2} - \lambda C_y^2\right] < 0 \tag{54}$$

The proposed estimators in (47) are more efficient than usual ratio estimator in (4) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} < MSE(t^{d}_{NG1})_{II}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda + \lambda')C_{p}^{2} - 2\lambda\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p} \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + (\lambda + \lambda')C_{p}^{2} - 2\lambda\rho_{pb}C_{y}C_{p} \right) \right] < 0$$
(55)

The proposed estimators in (47) are more efficient than ratio estimator in (10) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} < MSE(t^{*d}_{NG1})_{II}; \quad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n' - n} \left\{ \frac{n}{n' - n} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - 2\lambda \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right\} \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n}{n' - n} \left\{ \frac{n}{n' - n} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - 2\lambda \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right\} \right] < 0$$
(56)

The proposed estimators in (47) are more efficient than ratio exponential estimator in (17) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} < MSE(t_{S1})_{II}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - \lambda \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - \lambda \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right] < 0$$
(57)

The proposed estimators in (47) are more efficient than ratio exponential estimator in (23) if the following condition hold:

$$MSE_{min}(\hat{t}_{CEi})_{II} < MSE(t_{S1}^{*})_{II}; \qquad i = 1, 2, ..., 9$$

$$\overline{Y}^{2} \left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} \right] < \overline{Y}^{2} \left[\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n^{2}}{4(n'-n)^{2}} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - \lambda \frac{n}{n'-n} \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right]$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{T}{Z^{2}} - \left(\lambda C_{y}^{2} + \frac{n^{2}}{4(n'-n)^{2}} (\lambda + \lambda') C_{p}^{2} - \lambda \frac{n}{n'-n} \rho_{pb} C_{y} C_{p} \right) \right] < 0$$
(58)

4 Results and Discussion

The three datasets in Sukhatme and Sukhatme [17], Zaman et al. [18] and Mukhopadhyaya [19] mentioned as Population 1, Population 2 and Population 3, respectively, are used in order to examine the performances between the proposed combined exponential-type estimators and the existing estimators based on the criteria of means square error (MSE) and percentage relative efficiency (PRE) values.

<i>N</i> = 89	$\overline{Y} = 3.3596$	P = 0.1224	$\theta_4 = 0.663639$	$\theta_8 = 0.168098$
n = 20	n' = 45	$\theta_1 = 0.221201$	$\theta_{5} = 0.255046$	$\theta_9 = 0.129933$
$\beta_2(\phi) = 3.492$	$C_y = 0.6008$	$\theta_2 = 0.171378$	$\theta_6 = 0.626013$	
$ \rho_{pb} = 0.766 $	$C_p = 2.6779$	$\theta_3=0.054370$	$\theta_7 = 0.179256$	

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of population 1

N = 111	$\overline{Y} = 29.279$	P = 0.1162	$\theta_4 = 0.727196$	$\theta_8 = 0.1847881$
n = 30	n' = 55	$\theta_1 = 0.233225$	$\theta_{5} = 0.283080$	$\theta_9 = 0.1319996$
$\beta_2(\phi) = 3.898$	$C_y = 0.872$	$\theta_2 = 0.166991$	$\theta_6 = 0.675968$	
$ \rho_{pb} = 0.797 $	$C_p = 2.758$	$\theta_3 = 0.053057$	$\theta_7 = 0.179065$	

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of population 2

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of population 3

<i>N</i> = 25	$\overline{Y} = 7.143$	P = 0.294	$\theta_4 = 0.3792143$	$\theta_8 = 0.0663664$
n = 7	n' = 13	$\theta_1 = 0.2212013$	$\theta_5 = 0.0242392$	$\theta_9 = 0.0251466$
$\beta_2(\phi) = 2.19$	$C_y = 0.36442$	$\theta_2=0.0899278$	$\theta_6 = 0.3014618$	
$ \rho_{pb} = -0.314 $	$C_p = 1.34701$	$\theta_3 = 0.0117259$	$\theta_7 = 0.0122935$	

Table 5. Optimum value of the parameters

		β1	β_2
	Population 1	5.470544e-06	-2.953245e-05
Case I	Population 2	1.073719e-05	-1.609334e-07
	Population 3	-0.0003067824	-0.0003386136
	Population 1	-0.0002031217	-0.0002532018
Case II	Population 2	-2.337339e-05	-4.054335e-05
	Population 3	-0.001226135	-0.001275548

Table 5 showed the optimum values of the parameter of the proposed estimator under the three populations considered. The result revealed that $\beta_1 > \beta_2$ in both the case I and II under the three populations considered.

		Case I		Case II
Estimators	Pop I	Pop II	Pop I	Pop II
y	*	*	*	*
t ^d _{NG1}	0.115980	0.122411	0.106026	0.123461
t ^{*d} NG1	-0.103458	-0.062233	-0.02120	-0.04661
t _{S1}	0.005461	0.028671	0.005279	0.029386
t_{S1}^{*}	0.001259	0.04790	0.003337	0.048182
t _{CE1}	0.000152	0.02685	0.000275	0.028344
t _{CE2}	0.000208	0.01597	0.000742	0.028547
t _{CE3}	0.000147	0.04541	0.000128	0.049968
\hat{t}_{CE4}	0.009321	0.02287	0.004271	0.030069
t _{CE5}	0.000123	0.09202	0.000551	0.099365
τ _{CE6}	0.000131	0.01788	0.000675	0.020029
\hat{t}_{CE7}	0.000204	0.03389	0.000723	0.041534
t _{CE8}	0.000441	0.04565	0.000863	0.013179
τ _{ce9}	0.000112	0.00108	0.000101	0.063269

Table 7. MSE and percent relative efficiency of the existing ratio and proposed estimators

	Case I				Case II			
Estimators	Pop 1 Pop 2				Pop 1		Pop 2	
	MSE	PRE	MSE	PRE	MSE	PRE	MSE	PRE
y	0.157930	100	15.85573	100	0.157930	100	15.85573	100
t ^d _{NG1}	1.633285	9.67	64.86284	24.4	3.106026	5.085	154.3461	10.273
t ^{*d} _{NG1}	0.978480	16.1	98.37626	16.1	1.872120	8.436	234.4661	6.762
t _{S1}	0.333522	47.4	15.65928	101.3	0.625279	25.258	30.49386	51.996

		0	Case I			Cas	e II	
Estimators	Pop 1		Pop 2		Pop 1		Pop 2	
	MSE	PRE	MSE	PRE	MSE	PRE	MSE	PRE
t_{S1}^*	0.208471	75.8	21.54799	73.6	0.370737	42.599	46.52718	34.078
\hat{t}_{CE1}	0.176852	89.3	16.02685	98.9	0.468127	33.737	17.50083	90.599
\hat{t}_{CE2}	0.142909	110.5	13.65977	116.1	0.077874	202.80	8.505285	186.42
\hat{t}_{CE3}	0.147373	107.2	14.44541	109.7	0.152875	103.31	25.46468	62.266
\hat{t}_{CE4}	0.360097	43.85	18.88187	83.97	1.524271	10.361	34.4069	46.083
\hat{t}_{CE5}	0.199203	79.28	19.09202	83.05	0.745505	21.184	11.10365	142.80
\hat{t}_{CE6}	0.923413	17.10	21.11788	75.08	1.706715	9.2535	62.81029	25.244
\hat{t}_{CE7}	0.147804	106.9	13.89889	114.1	0.072594	217.55	8.467534	187.25
\hat{t}_{CE8}	0.140969	112.0	14.04565	112.8	0.079528	198.58	8.463179	187.35
Ê _{CE9}	0.124368	126.9	13.56808	116.9	0.085061	185.67	9.487999	167.11

Table 6 and 7 shows respectively the bias, means square error (MSE) and percentage relative efficiency values of the sample mean; usual ratio; Singh et al. [1] exponential ratio-type estimator, Kalita and Singh [16] exponential dual to ratio estimator, and the proposed combined exponential-type estimators in case I and case II. Evidence from the result signifies that the proposed \hat{t}_{CEi} exponential-type estimators possessed minimum mean square error values than the existing ratio estimators considered, where \hat{t}_{CE9} and \hat{t}_{CE7} are the most efficient estimators for Population 1, while \hat{t}_{CE8} is the most efficient estimator for Population 2.

		Case I			Case II	
Estimators	Bias	MSE	PRE	Bias	MSE	PRE
y	*	0.6969476	100	*	0.6969476	100
t ^d _{NG2}	0.300845	7.837983	8.891925	0.295519	15.25519	4.5685930
t ^{*d} NG2	5.441873	555.1851	0.1255343	4.992511	551.4325	0.1263886
t _{S2}	0.004883	1.704412	40.890794	0.033149	3.123148	22.315548
t_{S2}^*	1.068760	74.86570	0.9309305	7.996881	5706.482	0.0122132
$\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{CE1}$	0.0000523	0.0096954	7188.4357	0.009944	1.498544	46.508317
\hat{t}_{CE2}	0.0005252	0.1252534	556.43008	0.002073	0.1832079	380.41350
τ _{ce3}	0.0002996	0.1429093	487.68528	0.000124	0.1294934	538.21090
\hat{t}_{CE4}	0.0001413	0.1494134	466.45588	0.003483	1.344298	51.844725
t _{CE5}	0.0003948	0.1394831	499.66454	0.000967	0.4139684	168.35768
t _{CE6}	0.0002514	0.5648254	123.39168	0.001880	1.565188	44.528044
\hat{t}_{CE7}	0.0002958	0.1429585	487.51742	0.000964	0.1298864	536.58243
t _{CE8}	0.0005067	0.1495002	466.18506	0.000415	0.1411975	493.59769
\hat{t}_{CE9}	0.0001723	0.1441146	483.60651	0.000613	0.1366131	510.16162

Table 8. Bias, MSE and PRE of the proposed and existing product estimators

Table 8 shows respectively, the bias, means square error (MSE) and percentage relative efficiency (PRE) values of the sample mean; usual product; Singh et al. [1] exponential product-type estimator, Kalita and Singh [16] exponential dual to product estimator, and the proposed exponential-type estimators in case I and case II. Evidence from the result signifies that the proposed \hat{t}_{CE} exponential-type estimators possessed minimum mean square error values than the existing product estimators considered, where $\hat{t}_{\Box\Box I}$ is the most efficient estimator in case I, while \hat{t}_{CE3} is the most efficient estimator in case II.

$ ho_{pb}$	Р	MSE	$ ho_{pb}$	Р	MSE
	0.1	0.145967		0.1	0.1432010
	0.2	0.159834		0.2	0.1574037
	0.3	0.188921		0.3	0.1855774
	0.4	0.228896		0.4	0.2304614
0.300	0.5	0.279922	-0.300	0.5	0.2911532

$ ho_{pb}$	Р	MSE	$ ho_{pb}$	Р	MSE
	0.6	0.343510		0.6	0.3663207
	0.7	0.422206		0.7	0.4546116
	0.8	0.519637		0.8	0.5547210
	0.9	0.640659		0.9	0.6653893
	0.1	0.138967		0.1	0.1323412
	0.2	0.163723		0.2	0.1579494
	0.3	0.214654		0.3	0.2070158
	0.4	0.280803		0.4	0.2855278
0.533	0.5	0.362683	-0.533	0.5	0.3909368
	0.6	0.465046		0.6	0.5197609
	0.7	0.596479		0.7	0.6685015
	0.8	0.769938		0.8	0.8337651
	0.9	1.003538		0.9	1.0122420
0.725	0.1	0.123329		0.1	0.1295358
	0.2	0.174576		0.2	0.1578198
	0.3	0.274009		0.3	0.2448164
	0.4	0.386202		0.4	0.3838459
	0.5	0.515091	-0.725	0.5	0.5672152
	0.6	0.684773		0.6	0.7851993
	0.7	0.941247		0.7	1.0281800
	0.8	1.357366		0.8	1.2868940
	0.9	2.039011		0.9	1.5524060

The regions of preferences proposed estimator is obtained by varying the degrees of correlation coefficient and presented in table 9. The results signifies that the proposed combined exponential-type estimator becomes more efficient under the assumption of when the correlation between the study variable and the auxiliary attribute is either strongly positive or negative, it could also be seen that the lower the value of proportion the more efficient the estimator becomes.

5 Conclusion

The properties of the combined exponential estimators in two-phase sampling such as bias and means square error (MSE) equations are derived in two phases. The optimum value of the parameters along with the minimum mean square errors is obtained and tested using a real life datasets to examine their efficiencies vis-å-vis some other estimators in the literature at each phase and the proposed exponential estimators performed better to the datasets considered in this study. Thus, these estimators can be considered as alternatives to estimating real life datasets.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Singh R, Chauhan P, Sawan N, Smarandache F. Ratio-product type exponential estimator for estimating finite population mean using information on auxiliary attribute. Auxiliary Information and a Priori Values in Construction of Improved Estimators. 2007;1:18.
- [2] Muhammad I, Zakari Y, Audu A. An alternative class of ratio-regression-type estimator under two-phase sampling scheme. CBN Journal of Applied Statistics. 2021;12(2):1-26.
- [3] Singh HP, Ruiz-Espejo M. On linear regression and ratio-product estimation of a finite population mean. The Statistician. 2003;52(1):59-67.

- [4] Muhammad I, Zakari Y, Audu A. Genealized estimators for finite population variance using measurable and affordable auxiliary character. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics. 2022;18(1):14-30.
- [5] Zakari Y, Muhammad I, Sani NM. Alternative ratio-product type estimator in simple random sampling. Communication in Physical Sciences. 2020;5(4):418-426.
- [6] Zaman T, Kadilar C. New class of exponential estimators for finite population mean in two-phase sampling. Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods. 2019;50(4):874-889.
- [7] Rao JNK. On double sampling for stratification and analytical surveys. Biometrika. 1973;60(1):125–33.
- [8] Audu A, Ishaq OO, Zakari Y, Wisdom DD, Muili JO, Ndatsu AM. Regression cum-exponential ratio imputation class of estimators of population mean in the presence of non-response. Science Forum (Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences). 2020;20:58-63.
- [9] Audu A, Danbaba A, Abubakar A, Ishaq OO, Zakari Y. On the efficiency of calibration ratio estimators of population mean in stratified random sampling. In the edited Proceedings of the 1st Conference of the Royal Statistical Society Nigeria Local Group. 247-261. Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria; 2020.
- [10] Yadav SK, Adewara AA. On improved estimation of population mean using qualitative auxiliary information. International Journal of Mathematical Theory and Modelling. 2013;3(11):42-50.
- [11] Singh GN, Upadhyaya LN. A class of modified chain type estimators using two auxiliary variables in twophase sampling. Metron. 1995;53(3–4),:117–25.
- [12] Naik VD, Gupta PC. A note on estimation of mean with known population proportion of an auxiliary character. Journal of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics. 1996;48(2):151–8.
- [13] Zakari Y, Muili JO, Tela MN, Danchadi NS, Audu A. Use of unknown weight to enhance ratio-type estimator in simple random sampling. Lapai Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences. 2020;5(1):74-81.
- [14] Kumar M, Bahl S. Class of dual to ratio estimators for double sampling. Statistical Papers. 2006;47(2):319–26.
- [15] Singh BK, Choudhury S. Exponential chain ratio and product type estimators for finite population mean under double sampling scheme. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research. 2012;12(6):13–24.
- [16] Kalita D, Singh BK. Exponential dual to ratio and dual to product-type estimators for finite population mean in double sampling. Elixir Statistics. 2013;59:15458–70.
- [17] Sukhatme PV, Sukhatme BV. Sampling theory of surveys with applications. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press; 1970.
- [18] Zaman T, Saglam V, Sagir M, Yucesoy E, Zobu M. Investigation of some estimators via Taylor series approach and an application. American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics. 2014;3(5):141–7.
- [19] Mukhopadhyaya P. Theory and methods of survey sampling. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, India; 2000.

^{© 2023} Oyeyemi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.