International Journal of Plant & Soil Science



34(18): 191-196, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.87024 ISSN: 2320-7035

Effect of Phosphorus Management and Drought Mitigating Strategies on Yield and Economics of Rainfed Chickpea

Kutala Sai Pavan^{a*o}, Rajesh Singh^{a#} and Thakur Indu^{a†}

^a Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1831070

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87024

Original Research Article

Received 26 February 2022 Accepted 05 May 2022 Published 10 May 2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to find out the Phosphorous and drought mitigating strategies on yield and economics of Chickpea (*var.* RVG-202) with the different levels of Phosphorus (40, 50, 60 P_2O_5 kg/ha) and with the application of Drought mitigating strategies applied at pre-flowering and pod formation stage) respectively, at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. The soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.1), low in organic carbon (0.28%), available N (225 kg/ha), available P (19.50 kg/ha) and available K (92.00 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design, with ten treatments and replicated thrice. Results obtained that there was a significant increase in yield attributes *viz.*, Seed yield (2332.00 kg/ha), Straw yield (4571.33 kg/ha) and Harvest index (33.51%) were recorded with the application of P₂O₅ 60kg/ha + 25kg ZnSO₄/ha + 1%KCl +0.5% sodium selenite spray. However, Maximum Gross return (124481.70 INR/ha), net return (89064.67 INR/ha) and B: C ratio (2.51) was recorded with the application of P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha+ 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. Therefore, it is concluded that the application of P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha+ 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray.

[®]Research Scholar;

[#]Assistant Professor;

[†]PhD Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: saipavan98ksp@gmail.com;

Keywords: Phosphorus; drought mitigation; chickpea; yield and economic.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is the world's third most important winter (rabi) food legume with 96% cultivation in the developing countries. In India, it occupies 9.18 million ha area, with a production of 8.22 million tons registering 900kg/ha productivity. Chickpea is the fourth largest grain legume crop globally, In India with a total output of 11.09 million tons from an area of 14.56 million ha and a productivity of 1.31t/ha. Major producing countries include India, Pakistan and Iran" (FAO, 2019) [1]. "In India, Chickpea is the premier pulse crop occupying 8.32 million hectares and contributing 7.5 million tons to the national pulse basket with a productivity of 912 kg ha-1 in 2011-12. Uttar Pradesh produced 0.72 million tons of Chickpea with a productivity of 1248 kg ha-1 in 2011-12" [2].

"Phosphorus (P) is one of the macronutrients for the growth and development of plants, and it's the second in importance next to nitrogen (N). The P plays a vital role in the metabolism of plants. It is also a structural component of nucleic acid, co-enzymes, phosphor-proteins and phosphor-lipids present in plants. Foliar application of N at particular stage may solve the slow growth, nodule senescence, and low seed yield of pulse without root absorption at the critical stage" [3]; [4]. "Under the situation of terminal drought, the photosynthetic activity of leaves is rampant. The foliar nutrition with nitrogenous fertilizer i.e. 2% urea spray is essential as roots fails to absorb nitrogen from the dry soil profile. Zinc is the only metal that is required classes in all enzyme six (oxidoreductases, hydrolases, transferase, lyases, isomerase and ligases). The zinc requirement for the function of a wide range of enzymes indicates that the metabolism of proteins, carbohydrates, auxin, and reproductive processes is hampered under zinc deficiency" [5].

"The KCI (1%) sprays affected root and shoot growth, recording maximum seedling root length and shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight, and vigour index". [6] "Selenium (Se) is a trace element; it can exert beneficial effects at low concentrations. It can increase the tolerance of plants to UV-induced oxidative stress, delay senescence, and promote the growth of ageing seedlings. Recently it has been shown that Se can regulate the water status of plants under drought conditions. It has also been reported that Selenium (Se) has an antioxidant effect and can increase the anti-oxidative capacity and stress tolerance of plants" [7]; [8]. "There is growing evidence that Selenium has a positively affects on crop growth and stress tolerance at low concentrations" [9]; [10]. "Selenium can regulate the water status of plants under conditions of water deficiency and there by performs its protective effect" [11]. By keeping these points in mind, present research carried out in the title, "Effect of Phosphorous management and drought mitigating strategies on vield and economics of rainfed Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)".

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted during Rabi, 2021 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P) which is located at 25°39"42" N latitude, 81°67"56" E longitude, and 98m altitude above the mean sea level (MSL). The soil was sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon and medium in available nitrogen, Phosphorus, and low in potassium. Nutrient sources were Urea, Single Super Phosphate, and Murate of potash to fulfill the requirement of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Potassium. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments each replicated thrice. The treatments which are with 1: Control(RDF) 2: P_2O_5 40 kg / ha + 1 % KCI spray 3: P_2O_5 40kg/ha + 0.5% sodium selenite spray 4: P2O5 40 kg/ha+1% KCl + 0.5% sodium selenite spray 5: P₂O₅ 50 kg / ha+1 % KCl spray 6: P₂O₅ 50kg/ha+2% Urea + 0.5% sodium selenite spray 7: P₂O₅ 50 kg/ha + 2% Urea + 1% KCl +0.5% sodium selenite spray 8: P_2O_5 60kg/ha + 25kg ZnSO₄/ha + 1% KCl spray 9: P₂O₅ 60kg/ha + 25kg ZnSO₄/ha + 0.5% sodium selenite spray10: P₂O₅ 60kg/ha+25kg ZnSO₄/ha 1%KCI +0.5% sodium selenite spray. Blanket application of a recommended dose of Nitrogen and Potassium (20:0:20 NPK kg/ha). The sowing date was 28th November 2021 with the seed rate of 20kg/ha. The foliar application of 1% Potassium chloride, 0.5% Sodium selenite, and 1% Potassium chloride along with 0.5% Sodium selenite is applied during pre-flowering and pod formation stage., The yield parameters were recorded after harvest and economic analysis of the overall trial. The vield

parameters such as number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, test weight, seed yield, stover yield, and harvest index were recorded. These parameters were recorded and statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable to Randomized Block Design [12]. Economic analysis is also calculated according to valid data.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect on the Yield of Chickpea

As can be seen in Table 1, yield parameters are summarized statistically. A Significantly higher number of pods/plant was observed with the P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (59.10). However, P_2O_5 60 kg/ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl spray (58.66), P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄ and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (58.00) statistically at par P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. The maximum number of seeds/pod was observed with the P_2O_5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (1.66). However, P_2O_5 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 1 % KCl spray (1.58), P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha +0.5 % sodium selenite spray (1.54) statistically at par P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. The highest Test weight was observed with P2O5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (23.48). However, P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha +1 % KCl spray (23.37), P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (23.18) statistically at par P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. Maximum seed yield was observed with P2O5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (2332.00 kg/ha). However, P_2O_5 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha +1 % KCl spray (2246.33 kg/ha), P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (2169.33 kg/ha) statistically at par P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. Maximum stover yield was observed with P_2O_5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (4571.33 kg/ha). However, P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha +1 % KCl spray (4510.00 kg/ha), P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (4448.67 kg/ha) statistically at par P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. A higher harvest index was observed with P_2O_5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (33.51). However, P2O5 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha +1 % KCl spray (33.01), P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray (32.50) statistically at par P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl + 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. The results demonstrate that [13]. Foliar spray of 2% KCl + 0.4% Sodium selenite was significantly superior to rest of the treatments. The second treatment in order of magnitude was 2% KCI which proved significantly superior to the rest of the three treatments regarding yield attributes number of pods/plants. [14] stated that "the seed yield of Chickpea improved in each increase with P2O5 levels. Similar improvement in vield attributes like no. of pods plant 1 and the seed yield plant also observed with increase in P_2O_5 levels". The Highest seed yield was recorded in 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. All P₂O₅ levels were significantly superior over control [15] On pooling of data, the number of pods per plant, seeds/pod, 100-seed weight and seed yield increased significantly with 100% RDF + 2% urea spray during both the years. [16] stated that "increasing levels of phosphorus increased seed yield plant'1 of Chickpea and mustard both significantly up to 60 kgP₂0₅ha 1". [17] observed that "the foliar application of urea apart from the basal application of recommended a dose of fertilizers increased grain yield and yield attributes were recorded with 2 % urea spray at 75 days after sowing (DAS)". [18] found that application of 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha1 increased arain vield significantly over no application of zinc sulphate [19] observed that application of Phosphorus at 60 kg P2O5/ha significantly increased yield attributes. The increasing above value was progressively related to P doses from $0-60 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5/\text{ha}.$

3.2 Economic Analysis of Chickpea

As shown in Table 2, all the economic parameters *viz.*, gross return, net return, and B: C ratio are summarized. Maximum gross return (INR 1,24,481.70 /ha) recorded in P_2O_5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCI and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. Minimum gross return (INR 89,538.50 /ha) was recorded in control plot with recommended dose of fertilizer. Maximum net return (INR 89,064.67 /ha) recorded in P_2O_5 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCI and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. The minimum net return

Treatment	Number of pods per	Number of seeds per	Test weight (g)	Seed yield	Stover yield	Harvest
combination	plant	pod		(Kg/ha)	(Kg/ha)	Index (%)
1	53.80	1.34	21.21	1716.33	4011.00	29.79
2	54.50	1.38	21.89	1814.00	4134.33	30.13
3	54.16	1.36	21.55	1742.67	4071.66	29.66
4	54.70	1.42	22.13	1887.33	4196.67	30.61
5	55.50	1.48	22.72	2037.33	4324.33	31.74
6	55.30	1.46	22.61	1960.00	4260.00	31.22
7	55.66	1.51	22.93	2104.67	4387.00	32.12
8	58.66	1.58	23.37	2246.33	4510.00	33.01
9	58.00	1.54	23.18	2169.33	4448.67	32.50
10	59.10	1.66	23.48	2332.00	4571.33	33.51
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S
SEm(±)	2.97	0.18	1.31	319.50	298.09	2.19
CD 5%	1.00	0.06	0.44	107.53	100.33	0.74

Table 1. Effect of phosphorous management and mitigating drought strategies on yield of Chickpea

Table 2. Effect of Phosphorous management and mitigating drought strategies on the economics of Chickpea

Treatment combination	Gross Return (INR/ha)	Net Return (INR/ha)	B: C ratio	
1	89538.5	58631.5	1.89	
2	94581.17	63464.17	2.03	
3	90911.83	57004.83	1.68	
4	98352.33	64235.33	1.88	
5	106066.20	74741.67	2.38	
6	102090.00	67975.50	1.99	
7	109531.50	75207.00	2.19	
8	114353.00	81936.00	2.50	
9	112860.30	77653.33	2.20	
_10	124481.70	89064.67	2.51	

(INR 58,631.50 /ha) recorded in Control plot with recommended dose of fertilizer. Higher B: C ratio (2.51) recorded in P₂O₅ 60 kg /ha along with 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCl and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray. Minimum B:C ratio (1.89) was recorded in control plot with recommended dose of fertilize. [20]. "The higher dose of 40 kg/ha phosphorus along with seaweed extract had given higher gross return, net return, and B: C ratio in blackgram crop at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P)" [21]. It is evident from the pooled analysis data that the application of various treatments markedly increased gross return, net return and B: C ratio of the cropping system over control.

4. CONCLUSION

Phosphorus (P) is a macronutrient required for plant growth and development. The element P is essential for plant metabolism. Based on my research trial, the treatment combination of P_2O_5 60 kg /ha + 25 kg ZnSO₄/ ha and 1 % KCI and 0.5 % sodium selenite spray was more productive and economically feasible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj- 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India for providing field, necessary facilities and assistance in conducting this research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. FAO. Production Year Book. Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome. 2019;79:115
- 2. Directorate of Economics and Statistics Department of Agriculture and Cooperation; 2012
- Pandrangi RB, SG Wankhade and RA Nasre. Response of Mung (*Phaseolus aureus* L.) to soil and Foliar application of phosphatic fertilizers. Legume Research. 1991;14(4): 187-188.
- 4. Latha MR, Nadanasababady T. Foliar nutrient in crop-A Revie. Agricultural

Reviews. 2003;24:229-234.

- 5. Romheld V and Marschner H. Functions of Micronutrients in plants. In: Micronutrients in agriculture.1991;297-328.
- Parimala K, Devi IS, Bharathi V, Raghu B, Srikrishnalatha K, Reddy A V. Heterosis for yield and its component traits in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharamaceutical Technology. 2013; 4(4):65-68.
- Seppanen O, Fisk WJ, Faulkner D. Cost Benefit Analysis of the Night-Time Ventilative Cooling in Office Bulding. Lawrene Berkeley National Laboratory; 2003.
- 8. Xu TL, Hartikainen,H and Piironen V. Antioxidative and growth-promoting effect of Selenium on senescing lettuce. Plant. Soil. 2001;237: 55-61.
- 9. Rani N, Dhillon KS, Dhillon SK. Critical levels of Selenium in different crops grown in an alkaline silty loam soil treated with selenite. Plant Soil. 2005;277:367-374.
- Turakainen M, Helina H, Seppanen M. Effect of Selenium Treatments on Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) Growth and Concentrations of Soluble Sugars and Starch. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2004;52(17): 5378-5382.
- 11. Kuznetsov VV, Kholodova VP, Kuznetsov VIV and Yagodin BA. Selenium regulates the water status of plants exposed to drought. Doklady Biol. Sci., 2003;390:266-268.
- 12. Gomez, K.A., and A.A. Gomez. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1984;680.
- Pennanen A, Xue T and Hartikainen H. Protective role of Selenium in plant subjected to severe UV irradiation stress. Journal of Appllied Botaney. 2002;76: 66– 76.
- 14. Anil Kumar, Reena, Brij Nanadan, Jai Kumar and Jamwal BS. Effect of phosphorous and seed rate on growth and productivity of large seeded kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in subtropical kandi areas of Jammu and Kashmir. Journal of Food legumes. 2008; 21(4):231-233.
- 15. Khanda CM and Mohapatra BK. Effect of farm yard manure and inorganic fertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake at grain amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochondricus*

L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2003;48(2):142–144.

- Yadav PK, Vishram Ram, AD Singh and Ram Ruchi. Effect of row ratio and fertilizer in Chickpea (*Cicer arietimm* L.) and Mustard (*Brassica Juncea*) intercropping system, Indian J. of Agron. 2013;58(2):198-202.
- Venkatesh MS and Basu PS. Effect of foliar application of urea on growth, yield and quality of Chickpea under rainfed conditions. Journal of Food Legumes. 2011;24(2): 110-112.
- Singh, Guriqbal, Sekhon, HS and Kaur, Harpreet. Effect of farmyard manure, vermi-compost and chemical nutrients on growth and yield of Chickpea, International Journal of Agricultural

Research. 2012;(7): 93-99.

- 19. Meena LR, RK Singh and RC Gautam. Effect of moisture conservation practices, Phosphorus and bacterial inoculation on chickpea under rainfed condition Indian Agron. 2002;47(3):398- 404.
- Sam Praveen Kumar S, Shikha Singh, Avani Pradeepika N. Effect of Seaweed (*Gracilari edulis*) Extract and Phosphorus on Growth and Economic of a Blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;34(11):6-14.
- Sivamurugan AP, Ravikesavan R, Singh AK, Jat SL. Effect of different levels of P and liquid biofertilizers on growth, yield attributes and yield of maize. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2018;7 (26):520–23.

© 2022 Pavan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87024