



# Studies on the Effect of Nano-Urea on Growth, Yield and Nutrient Use Efficiency in Transplanted Rice

Anushka A. S.<sup>a</sup>, G. Senthil Kumar<sup>a\*</sup>, N. Sritharan<sup>a</sup>,  
S. Radhamani<sup>b</sup> and S. Maragatham<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Rice, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of SS &AC, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, Tamil Nadu, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102810

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105560>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/06/2023

Accepted: 26/08/2023

Published: 29/08/2023

## ABSTRACT

Rice is a staple crop that feeds a large section of the world's population, and conventional urea fertilisers have played an important role in increasing rice yields. However, nano urea, a game-changing invention in modern agriculture, represents a big step forward in terms of sustainable and efficient crop production. The experiment was carried out at wetlands farm of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, during *Navarai* season, 2022 in a Randomized block design with eight treatments and three replications. The main objective is to enhancing the growth and yield of rice through foliar application of nano urea. The rice variety CO 55 was taken up for the study with the RDF of 150:50:50 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experimental details viz; T<sub>1</sub> -100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) (150 kg N) through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage), T<sub>2</sub> - 100% RDN (150 kg N) through urea (50% as basal+ two top dressing 25%

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [senthilkumar.g@tnau.ac.in](mailto:senthilkumar.g@tnau.ac.in);

each at AT & PI), T<sub>3</sub> - 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) , T<sub>4</sub> - 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + two foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>5</sub> -50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>6</sub> - 25% RDN (37.5 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>7</sub> -Foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup> 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT (no basal application), T<sub>8</sub> -Control (0% N). Based on the experimental results show that, among the treatments the higher plant height, leaf area index and yield should be obtained in the T<sub>5</sub> treatment ie; reduced basal nitrogen application as 50%, with nano urea foliar spray.

**Keywords:** Transplanted rice; nano urea; nutrient use efficiency; yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice is one of the most important staple daily diet consumed by a large portion of the world's population. Rice has been cultivated for thousands of years and plays a significant role in the diets and cultures of many countries, particularly in Asia, where it is a dietary staple. India is one of the major rice producing and consuming country. It is the world's second-largest producer of rice. Nano-urea has the potential to improve nutrient use efficiency in rice crops. Studies have showed that Nano-sized urea particles can be more easily absorbed by plant roots, leading to increased nitrogen uptake [1]. This enhanced nutrient uptake can result in improved plant growth, higher grain yield and increased nitrogen use efficiency, which is particularly crucial in rice cultivation [2]. Liquid nano fertilizer which is currently the best alternative to urea fertilizer. One bottle of nano urea (500 ml) is equivalent to a bag of urea fertilizer (45 kg). One nano urea liquid particle is 30 nano meters in diameter, with 10,000 times higher surface area to volume size than normal granular urea [3]. Foliar application of nano urea liquid at critical crop growth stages of a plant effectively fulfils its nitrogen requirement and leads to higher crop productivity and quality in comparison to conventional urea. Sharmila and Rahale [4] has monitored the nutrient release pattern of nano-fertiliser formulations carrying nitrogen. The data have shown the nano-clay based fertilizer formulations (zeolite and montmorillonite with a dimension of 30-40 nm) are capable of releasing the nitrogen for a longer period of time (> 1000 hrs) than conventional fertilizers (< 500 hrs). Nano urea refers to urea that has been formulated with nanoparticles to improve its efficiency and effectiveness as a nitrogen fertilizer. The nitrogen holding capacity of nano urea refers to the amount of nitrogen that can be held in the particles and released slowly over time, providing a sustained source of nutrients for plant growth [5]. According to

studies, spraying rice with nano urea boosts yield-contributing traits such the highest number of panicles (m<sup>-2</sup>), the total number of grains (g), the number of filled grains (g), test weight (g), grain yield, and straw yield [6]. Nano urea will also have a huge positive impact on the quality of underground water, a very significant reduction in global warming with an impact on climate change and sustainable development [7].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

### 2.1 Site Description

The experimental study was carried out during in 2022 at the wetland, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu Which was 11<sup>0</sup> N latitude and 77<sup>0</sup> E longitude with 426.7 altitude (MSL). The soil type of the experimental field is clay loam, which was pH 8.1, EC of 0.42 dsm<sup>-1</sup> with organic carbon of 0.63%. The availability of the primary nutrients viz., nitrogen (alkaline permanganate method), phosphorus (Olsen method) and potassium (neutral normal ammonium acetate method) in the soil are 260 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 19.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 440 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The soil sample were collected from 0-15 cm depth, later dried and grounded in 2 mm sieve and used for further analysis.

### 2.2 Experimental Description

The experimental study was carried out under conventional method of rice cultivation with CO 55 as test variety during *Navarai* season (2023). The research experiment was conducted in (RBD) Randomized Block Design involving 8 treatments and 3 replications with plot size 7.0 m x 3.6 m respectively. The varied dose of basal nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, foliar spray of nano urea is applied. The eight treatments were T<sub>1</sub>- 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) (150 kg N) through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage), T<sub>2</sub> - 100% RDN (150 kg N) through urea (50% as basal+

two top dressing 25% each at AT & PI), T<sub>3</sub>- 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only), T<sub>4</sub> - 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + two foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>5</sub>- 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>6</sub>- 25% RDN (37.5 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>7</sub>- Foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT (no basal application), T<sub>8</sub>- Control (0% N). Recommended dose of fertilizer for short duration rice 150:50:50 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied to the research land. It was transplanted at 21 DAS with 20 x 15 cm spacing. The nitrogen was sprayed in three stages at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT. Phosphorus was applied as basal. Potassium was given in four splits as per the recommendation. The experimental data were statistically analyzed with the critical differences worked out at 5% (0.05).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Effect of Nano Urea on Plant Height

Adoption of varied dose of basal nitrogen, phosphorus and several concentrations of conventional urea and nano urea as foliar spray significantly influenced the growth attributes viz., plant height, leaf area index of the paddy crop.

The plant height showed significant difference among the treatments 30, 60, 90 DAT and maturity. Among the treatments, 50% RDN as urea (basal only) + three foliar spray of nano urea at 30, 60 and 90 DAT (T<sub>5</sub>) recorded higher plant height of 39.3, 72.6, 89.8, 99.2 cm at active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and at maturity stages, respectively. It was on par with application of 100% recommended dose of nitrogen through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage), T<sub>1</sub> recorded plant height of 39.1, 70.8, 87.3, 99.0 cm at active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and maturity stages, respectively. The lower plant height was recorded at control (T<sub>8</sub>) with 28.4, 40.2, 62.1, 72.6 cm at active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and maturity stages, respectively.

Plant height was more enhanced when nano fertilizer was mixed with the conventional ones, even at a lower application rate [8]. Midde et al. [9] findings show that, 50% of RDN as conventional urea and remaining 50% nitrogen as nano-urea foliar spray, when both

applied together the height of the rice plant increased.

The study by Rostaman et al., [10] found that the sole application of nano-urea and conventional urea to rice crop resulted lesser plant height than the use of nano inorganic fertilizer application with 400 times dilution on 75% (NPK + urea) was given better plant height.

Based on the study of Kothari et al., [11], the results were shown that comparatively taller plants are observed in plots with nano nitrogen as foliar spray than control plot without foliar spray of nano nitrogen. The plant height of 'Bg 250' rice cultivar treated with nitrogen showed taller plants when compared to the plants without fertilizer treatment. But comparatively significantly taller plants observed in nano-urea treated plots than conventional urea treated plot [12].

#### 3.2 Effect of Nano Urea on Leaf Area Index

The leaf area index at 30, 60 and 90 DAS was found maximum under T<sub>5</sub> ( 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT) and significant over other treatments. It was on par with T<sub>1</sub> ( 100% RDN (150 kg N) through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage). The leaf area index at maturity stage was found maximum under T<sub>5</sub> ( 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT) and superiorly significant over other treatments. The lowest leaf area index was recorded in the control plot T<sub>8</sub> (0% N).

Application of nano-urea spray has demonstrated a significant influence on rice leaf area index (LAI). Research by Sharma et al., [13] highlights that nano-urea spray leads to increased LAI due to enhanced nutrient uptake and utilization. The nanoscale formulation ensures better nutrient penetration and absorption through leaves, promoting leaf expansion and overall canopy development.

This aligns with earlier findings on the positive correlation between nano urea and enhanced LAI. The study underscores the potential of this innovative approach to booster crop growth and productivity sustainably. Nano urea has shown a positive influence on physiological traits of rice.

**Table 1. Effect of treatments on plant height (cm) of transplanted rice at 30, 60, 90 DAT and maturity stages**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			
	Active Tillering	Panicle Initiation	Flowering	Maturity
T <sub>1</sub> 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) (150 kg N) through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage)	39.1	70.8	87.3	99.0
T <sub>2</sub> 100% RDN (150 kg N) through urea (50% as basal+ two top dressing 25% each at AT & PI)	37.8	65.1	85.2	97.5
T <sub>3</sub> 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only)	34.5	52.1	77.5	83.7
T <sub>4</sub> 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + two foliar sprays of nano urea at 20th & 40th DAT	35.4	64.3	79.8	94.2
T <sub>5</sub> 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20 <sup>th</sup> , 40 <sup>th</sup> & 60 <sup>th</sup> DAT	39.3	72.6	89.8	99.2
T <sub>6</sub> 25% RDN (37.5 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20th, 40th & 60th DAT	35.2	58.3	78.1	92.1
T <sub>7</sub> Three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20 <sup>th</sup> , 40 <sup>th</sup> & 60 <sup>th</sup> DAT (no basal application)	31.6	46.4	69.4	78.6
T <sub>8</sub> Control (0% N)	28.4	40.2	62.1	72.6
<b>SEd</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>4.6</b>

**Table 2. Effect of treatments on leaf area index of transplanted rice**

Treatments	Leaf area index			
	Active tillering stage	Panicle initiation stage	Flowering stage	Maturity stage
T <sub>1</sub> 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) (150 kg N) through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage)	1.2	3.2	3.9	2.8
T <sub>2</sub> 100% RDN (150 kg N) through urea (50% as basal+ two top dressing 25% each at AT & PI)	1.1	3.1	3.8	2.7
T <sub>3</sub> 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only)	0.9	2.7	3.0	2.4
T <sub>4</sub> 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + two foliar sprays of nano urea at 20th & 40th DAT	1.1	3.0	3.6	2.6
T <sub>5</sub> 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20 <sup>th</sup> , 40 <sup>th</sup> & 60 <sup>th</sup> DAT	1.2	3.3	4.0	3.0
T <sub>6</sub> 25% RDN (37.5 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20th, 40th & 60th DAT	1.0	2.9	3.3	2.5
T <sub>7</sub> Three foliar sprays of nano urea at 20 <sup>th</sup> , 40 <sup>th</sup> & 60 <sup>th</sup> DAT (no basal application)	0.9	2.5	2.7	2.2
T <sub>8</sub> Control (0% N)	0.8	2.2	2.2	2.1
<b>SEd</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.14</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.39</b>


### 3.3 Effect of Nano Urea on Grain Yield of Transplanted Rice

Grain yield of rice was significantly influenced by the different dose of nitrogen application and the results are presented in the Graph 1.


Among the treatments, 50% recommended dose of nitrogen (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + three foliar spray of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT gave significantly higher grain yield of 6093 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) and it was on par with 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (150 kg N) through urea @ 25% each at basal active tillering, panicle initiation and heading stage with

the grain yield of 5950 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(T<sub>1</sub>). This was followed by 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (150 kg N) through urea @ 50% as basal + two top dressing 25% each at active tillering & panicle initiation with the grain yield of 5570 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>) and it was on par with 50% dose of nitrogen (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + two foliar spray of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> DAT with the yield of 5410 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>4</sub>). The lowest grain yield of 2785 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was accounted with control (T<sub>8</sub>) in transplanted rice.

Similar findings such that the application of nano urea as foliar spray along with the conventional urea resulted the better grain yield and straw



Graph 1. Effect of nano urea on grain yield of transplanted rice



Graph 2. Effect of nano urea on straw yield of transplanted rice

yield by Kothari et al., [11], Upadhyay et al., [14], Attri et al., [15], Yadav et al., [16], Al gym et al., [17] and Gewaily et al., [18].

### 3.4 Effect of Nano Urea on Straw Yield of Transplanted Rice

The impact of different dose of nitrogen application in transplanted rice is presented in the Graph 2.

Among the treatments, 50% recommended dose of nitrogen (75kgN) through urea (basal only) + three foliar spray of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> DAT had a profound influence on straw yield of transplanted rice (7681 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (150 kgN) through urea @ 25% each at basal, active tillering, panicle initiation and heading stage with the straw yield of 7538 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>1</sub>). This was followed by 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (150 kg N) through urea @ 50% as basal + two top dressing 25% each at active tillering & panicle initiation with the straw yield of 7158 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>) and it was on par with 50% recommended dose of nitrogen (75kgN) through urea (basal only) + two foliar spray of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> DAT with the yield of 6998 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>4</sub>). Subsequently, the lowest straw yield of 4373 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded with control (T<sub>8</sub>), control 0% N.

### 4. CONCLUSION

From the experiment, it was concluded that, 50% RDN (75 kg N) through urea (basal only) + foliar sprays of nano urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAT recorded the higher plant height, leaf area index and yield and it was on par with the treatment 100% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) (150 kg N) through urea (25% each at basal, AT, PI and heading stage).

Since both treatment combinations generated higher growth characteristics that were comparable to one another, the adoption of a reduced dose of basal nitrogen; 50% N along with foliar spray of nano-urea at 20<sup>th</sup>, 40<sup>th</sup> & 60<sup>th</sup> DAT is an economically viable choice for boosting growth when applying a foliar application of nano-urea.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to convey my gratitude to Department of Agronomy, TNAU, Coimbatore for conducting the experiment at wet land farm.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Iqbal MA. Nano-fertilizers for sustainable crop production under changing climate: a global perspective. Sustainable Crop Production. 2019;8:1-13.
2. Lakshman K, Chandrakala M, Prasad PS, Babu GP, Srinivas T, Naik NR, Korah A. Liquid Nano-Urea: An Emerging Nano Fertilizer Substitute for Conventional Urea. Chronicle of Bioresource Management. 2022;6(Jun, 2):054-059.
3. Shah SA, Shen X, Xie M, Zhu G, Ji Z, Zhou H, Chen Y. Nickel@ Nitrogen-Doped Carbon@ MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets: An Efficient Electrocatalyst for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. Small. 2019;15(9):1804545.
4. Rahale S. Nutrient release pattern of nanofertilizer formulation. PhD (Agri.) Thesis. Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore; 2011.
5. Baboo P. Nano urea the philosophy of future; 2021.
6. Sahu TK, Kumar M, Kumar N, Chandrakar T, Singh DP. Effect of nano urea application on growth and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under mid land situation of Bastar region. Pharma Innov. 2022;11:185-87.
7. Kantwa S, Yadav LR. Nano Urea: Applications and significance. Just Agriculture. 2022;2:1-6.
8. Benzon HRL, Rubenecia MRU, Ultra Jr VU, Lee SC. Nano-fertilizer affects the growth, development, and chemical properties of rice. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research. 2015;7(1):105-117.
9. Midde SK, Perumal MS, Murugan G, Sudhagar R, Mattepally VS, Bada MR. Evaluation of nano urea on growth and yield attributes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2021;11(42):211-214.
10. Rostaman T, Wibowo H. The effects of nano inorganic fertilizer application on rice (*Oryza sativa* L) productivity. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing. 2021;648(1):012197.
11. Kothari SK, Saha S, Mallick P. Evaluation of foliar application of nano-fertilizers

- (nitrogen, zinc, copper) on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in kharif season; 2023.
12. Rathnayake GN, Kumar NS, Jayasinghe L, Araya H, Fujimoto Y. Chemical investigation of metabolites produced by an endophytic fungi *Phialemonium curvatum* from the leaves of *Passiflora edulis*. Natural Product Research. 2018;32(20):2483-2486.
  13. Sharma SK, Sharma PK, Mandeewal RL, Sharma V, Chaudhary R, Pandey R, et al. Effect of foliar application of nano-urea under different nitrogen levels on growth and nutrient content of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(20):149-155.
  14. Upadhyay PK, Dey A, Singh VK, Dwivedi BS, Singh T, GA R, Shukla G. Conjoint application of nano-urea with conventional fertilizers: An energy efficient and environmentally robust approach for sustainable crop production. Plos One. 2023;18(7):e0284009
  15. Attri M, Sharma N, Sharma BC. Effect of Foliar Application of Nano Urea on Productivity and Profitability of Fine Rice under Irrigated Subtropics of Jammu Region. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2022;49(5):1935-1938.
  16. Yadav DN, Kumar R, Verma A, Kumar P. Effect of foliar application of nano-fertilizers on soil health and productivity in transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021; 10(12):1263-1265.
  17. AL-Gym AJK, Al-Asady MHS. Effect of the method and level of adding NPK nanoparticles and mineral fertilizers on the growth and yield of yellow corn and the content of mineral nutrient of some plant parts. Plant Archives. 2020;20(1):38-43.
  18. Gewaily EE, Ghoneim AM, Khattab EA. Nano-silicon and nitrogen foliar spray affects the growth, yield and nutrients content of rice. World Journal Agricultural Sciences. 2019;15(6):367-375.

© 2023 Anushka et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105560>