

5(4): 188-193, 2022; Article no.AJDS.93096

Maryland Bridge with Root Submergence - A Robust Combination

Soumya Rajdey ^{a#}, Siddhi Tripathi ^{ao*} and Soorya Poduval ^{a¥}

^a Department of Prosthodontics and Crown and Bridge, ITS-CDSR, Muradnagar, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93096

Case Report

Received 17 September 2022 Accepted 01 November 2022 Published 07 November 2022

ABSTRACT

The ultimate objective of a prosthesis is preservation of remaining teeth while lost function is being restored. The Root submergence technique not only helps in maintaining the periodontal ligament but also prevents the resorption of the alveolar bone thus maintaining the ridge. A Maryland bridge is a type of resin bonded prosthesis that not only fills the space between the two teeth but also helps in recovering the lost functionality of the tooth. This helps the patient to regain his self-confidence and simultaneously allows him to chew, speak and smile without any setbacks. The combination of root submergence technique with the Maryland bridge is one such modality which conserves the remaining root of the diseased tooth and thereafter rehabilitated with a prosthesis which requires minimal preparation. The prosthesis is thereafter luted with a resin cement with adequate isolation. Apart from it, this method of rehabilitation is very cost effective as compared to the implants and other expensive treatment modalities. The present case report highlights both the techniques step by step in the treatment of a patient with mutilated central incisor.

Keywords: Root submergence; Maryland bridge; central incisor.

* Professor & Head;

[#] Post Graduate Student;

^{*o*} Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: drsiddhi.tripathi@gmail.com, siddhitripathi@its.edu.in;

Rajdey et al.; AJDS, 5(4): 188-193, 2022; Article no.AJDS.93096

1. INTRODUCTION

Since time immemorial the dentists have endeavoured in preservation of what has remained rather than totally concentrating on replacing the diseased. It was well stated by Atwood and Coy that after extraction there is a reduction of maxillary and mandibular ridge of up to 1mm/year and 0.4mm/year respectively and following the tooth loss there is disintegration of the periodontal ligament, severing the soft tissue contact that leads to its apical migration leading to loss of interdental papillae which eventually leads to black triangles. The treatment option for such kind of situation is to preserve the alveolar bone thus maintaining the ridge [1].

Root submergence technique (RST) is a type of partial extraction therapy (PET) which was firstly published in a report by Bjorn in the year 1961[2]. RST is a technique in which instead of extracting the whole tooth, the crown part of the tooth is surgically removed and the root is submerged at or below the level of the alveolar crest, modified RST (MRST) is a technique which is comparatively bloodless and minimally invasive and shows good results [3].

Anterior teeth fracture is not uncommon and can be a result of different circumstances like congenital defects, accidents, endodontic failure and not everyone can afford replacement with an implant or some patients are so medically compromised that undergoing surgery can be life threatening and in some young patients growth spurts haven't culminated which warrant permanent replacement. Maryland bridge is a type of resin bonded fixed partial dentures (RBFPD's) which was introduced by Livaditis et.al and Thomson et.al.[4]. This is a fixed dental prosthesis which requires minimal intervention of the abutment teeth and can be made retentive with the help of grooves and usage of chemically or light cured resin cements [5].

With the advancement of contemporary ceramic and ceramic free materials, the non-metallic RBFPDs frameworks has also been popularised [6]. Current reports have suggested that RBFPDs with a cantilevered pontic show better survival rates than RBFPDs with doublesided retainers. Similar results were found in a meta- analysis conducted in 2016 by Wei et al. [7].

The present article illustrates the prosthodontic rehabilitation of a case with help of modified root submergence and Maryland bridge.

2. CASE REPORT

A 26year old male patient reported to the Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge with a chief complaint of broken left central incisor and wanted to get it replaced as soon as possible (Fig. 1). The patient was informed about all the treatment options and based on his choice MRST was planned with respect to maxillary left lateral incisor followed by a Maryland bridge. This treatment modality was actually chosen because patient wanted to get implant placed later in life rather than immediately, so our main motive was to preserve the remaining alveolar ridge, along with esthetic replacement of the coronal part.



(A)



Fig. 1(A-B). Preoperative frontal and intraoral view of the patient

Rajdey et al.; AJDS, 5(4): 188-193, 2022; Article no.AJDS.93096

In the same visit, local anaesthesia (lignocaine 2% with 1:80,000 adrenaline) was given to the patient. The root submergence began with the use of air rotor along with flame shaped bur to create a concave surface on the centre part of the root so that it was more apical in comparison to its periphery. (Fig. 2). Later a thin layer of Glass ionomer cement was used to restore the central area of the submerged root. The lingual preparation of both 11 and 22 was initiated with tapered round bur that was 2 mm short of the incisal edge, along with it a light chamfer finish line was prepared 1 mm supragingivally. A flame shaped bur was used to shape the palatal fossa. Then a proximal groove was given in the mesial part of 11 and other mesial of 22. After that cingulum rest was given with respect to both 11 and 22. Subsequently the preparation was finished. Following the tooth preparation putty

and light body impressions were made with elastomeric impression material and cast was poured. (Fig. 3). The patient was called for the next visit in which metal trial was done, the pontic was planned as a hygenic one so that it can be self cleaning. (Fig. 4). Shade selection was also done in the same appointment. The final prosthesis was then tried in the succeeding appointment and was evaluated for esthetics, phonetics and mastication (Fig. 5-6) Before cementation, a coarse diamond bur was used to create a good roughened surface on the wings of the Maryland Bridge by producing webbings on the incisal edge. The Maryland bridge was them cemented with a resin cement in a well isolated environment. (Fig. 7). The patient was put on regular follow up until he was ready to get a permanent replacement done.



Fig. 2. Modified root submergence of the left central incisor with a concavity created on the submerged root



Fig. 3. Elastomeric impression of the prepared teeth



Fig. 4. Metal trial occlusal view showing ridge lap design for creation of self cleansing area



Fig. 5. Final prosthesis



Fig. 6. Intraoral occlusal view of final prosthesis



Fig. 7. Post cementation frontal view

3. DISCUSSION

In patients with either systemic diseases or financial constraints the partial extraction therapy is a potential treatment modality for the preservation of the bone when other treatment options aren't favourable. The RST can be performed for both vital and non-vital roots. The endodontically tooth is treated hefore decoronation in the non-vital RST whereas the vital tooth's pulp is kept intact and it is made sure that the root is covered with a flap after decoronation in vital RST. In vital RST the pulp remains vital as it receives blood supply through the apices and collateral occlusal circulation from the soft tissue [8]. However, in vital root submergence complications can occur like pulpal infection, root caries specially in cases if the roots are not covered fully that can aggravate the situation leading to resorption of root, ankylosis, periapical pathology, and perforations in the soft tissues, especially used under overdentures because it may transfer pressure through the denture base to the soft tissues around the roots. Therefore it is mostly advised to treat the tooth endodontically initially [1]. In the present case, the patient had broken tooth so we planned to get it endodontically treated so that there will be no risk of postoperative perforations and infections, hence non vital RST was performed, the patient could not afford implants at the current situation but he was willing to get it done hence the choice was made to do modified root submergence along with Maryland bridge as this would ensure preservation of bone along with good esthetic rehabilitation till the permanent prosthesis. Salama et al. (1998) studied the interproximal height of bone (IHB) as a factor in achieving optimal esthetic outcomes and classified the predicted height of Interdental Papillae based on the IHB measured from crest of bone to future contact point of the prosthesis [9].

The failures of RBFPDs are usually less catastrophic than failures with conventional FPDs [10]. The RBFPDs are associated with less postoperative morbidity alongwith superior However, RBFPDs esthetics and less cost. sometimes result in compromised aesthetics when there are a lot of interdental spacing as the color of the metal can show through when using metal framework. These prosthesis are also contraindicated in patients which do not have adequate interocclusal space, have habits like bruxism or other parafunctions habits, or short coronal structure of the abutment tooth.

4. CONCLUSION

The root submergence technique has a 5decade history and is one of the best practiced technique

for conservation of ridge and and interdental papilla. The primary goal should be to preserve the patient's own tissues rather than opting for other measures like extraction and later augmentation. It is wise to choose less invasive options when the abutment teeth are healthy and due to some reasons implant placement is not possible. A Maryland Bridge proffers several benefits like it requires less tooth preparation, patient acceptance is better, its economical and can offer esthetically acceptable results at a comparatively less number of patient visits.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient(s) written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

Ethical Approval

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Agrawal DR, Jaiswal P, Dhadse PV, Chandak A, Gogiya R. Root submergence: An insight for alveolar bone preservation. J Datta Meghe Inst Med Sci Univ. 2020;15:127-31.
- Gluckman H, Salama M, Du Toit J. Partial Extraction Therapies (PET) part 1: Maintaining alveolar ridge contour at pontic and immediate implant sites. Int J

Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2016;36(5): 681-7.

- Kumar S, Singh P, Bhowmick D, Srivastava P, Gupta KK. Modified root submergence technique for pontic site development in maxillary anterior region. IJSS Case Reports & Reviews. 2015;2(5): 31-4.
- 4. Livaditis GJ, Thompson VP. The Maryland bridge technique. Tic. 1982; 41(11):7-10.
- 5. Prathyusha P, Jyoti S, Kaul RB, Sethi N. Maryland Bridge: An interim prosthesis for tooth replacement in adolescents. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2011;4(2):135-8.
- Van Heumen CC, van Dijken JW, Tanner J, Pikaar R, Lassila LV, Creugers NH et al. Five-year survival of 3-unit fiber-reinforced composite fixed partial dentures in the anterior area. Dent Mater. 2009;25(6):820-7.
- Wei YR, Wang XD, Zhang Q, Li XX, Blatz MB, Jian YT, Zhao K. Clinical performance of anterior resin-bonded fixed dental prostheses with different framework designs: A systematic review and metaanalysis. J Dent. 2016;47:1-7.
- Shrestha R, Pradhan S, Gorkhali RS, Verma A. Root submergence technique: Forgotten terrain revisited. J Nepal Soc Perio Oral Implantol. 2018;2(2):73-5.
- Salama M, Ishikawa T, Salama H, Funato A, Garber D. Advantages of the root submergence technique for pontic site development in esthetic implant therapy. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. 2007; 27(6):521-7.
- Miettinen M, Millar BJ. A review of the success and failure characteristics of resinbonded bridges. Br Dent J. 2013; 215(2):E3.

© 2022 Rajdey et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93096